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- On the famous equation $x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$
- Ingredients for the resolution
- The two related problems for solving $x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$
- Solving the two problems
- Impacts (results obtained in 2021, 2022 and 2023)
- On the APN-ness property of Kasami functions
- On the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" family of quadrinomials
- On the resolution of a new equation $F(x+1)+F(x)=b$ where $F$ is a power "cryptographic" function


## Cryptography



## Boolean functions : cryptographic framework



Block ciphers (AES, DES, etc)


## Notation :

$-\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ the finite field of order $2^{n}$.

- The absolute trace over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of an element $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ equals $\operatorname{Tr}_{2^{n} / 2}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{i}}$.


## Symmetric cryptography

Let

$$
F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}
$$

- The (Boolean) functions : $x \mapsto b \cdot F(x):=\operatorname{Tr}_{2^{m} / 2}(b F(x)), b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ where $b \neq 0$ are called the components of $F$ where $\operatorname{Tr}_{2^{n} / 2}(x):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{i}}$ (trace function).
- The discrete Hadamard Walsh (Fourier) transform of $F$ :
$W_{F}(a, b):=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{T_{2^{2}} / 2}(b F(x))-T_{r^{n}} / 2(a x)$
where $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0\}$
- three main important cryptographic parameters for $F$

1. Nonlinearity and higher-order nonlinearity
2. Differential uniformity
3. Boomerang uniformity

To make the cryptanalysis very difficult to implement, we must pay attention when choosing the vectorial function $F$, which has to follow. several recommendations : cryptographic criteria!

Linear Cryptanalysis [Matsui (1993)] $\Rightarrow$ nonlinearity $\mathrm{Nl}(F)$ de $F: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{m}$,

$$
\mathrm{Nl}(F)=\min _{b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}, b \neq 0}\left\{\operatorname{nl}\left(T r_{2^{m}} / 2(b F)\right)\right\}=2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, b \neq 0}\left|W_{F}(a, b)\right| .
$$

* The higher the value of $\mathrm{Nl}(F)$, the better resistance to linear cryptanalysis.
$\star$ When $n=m$ odd, $\mathrm{Nl}(F)$ is bounded by $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. The functions reaching this upper bound are the $A B$ functions.
$\star$ When $m=1, \mathrm{Nl}(F)$ is bounded by $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. The functions reaching this upper bound are the bent functions ( $n$ even).
$\hookrightarrow$ A very difficult parameter to study mathematically !!

Differential cryptanalysis [Biham, Shamir (1991)] $\Rightarrow$

- Difference Distribution Table

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{DDT}_{F}(a, b)=\#\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, F(x+a)+F(x)=b\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Differential uniformity [Nyberg (1992-1993)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{F}=\max _{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, a \neq 0} \operatorname{DDT}_{F}(a, b) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\star$ The smaller the $\delta_{F}$ value, the better the resistance to differential cryptanalysis.
$\star$ For any function $F$ with $m \leq n, \delta_{F} \geq p^{n-m}$ and $\delta_{F} \geq 2$ if $p=2$.
$\star$ If $\delta_{F}=1$, the function $F$ ( $p$ odd) is non-perfect linear (PN).
$\star$ If $\delta_{F}=2$, the function $F(p=2)$ is almost perfectly nonlinear (APN).

## A variation of differential cryptanalysis : boomerang cryptanalysis

Boomerang cryptanalysis [Wagner (1999)] $\Rightarrow$

- Boomerang Connectivity Table [Cid. al. (2018)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BCT}_{F}(a, b)=\#\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, F^{-1}(F(x)+b)+F^{-1}(F(x+a)+b)=a\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Boomerang uniformity ([Bourra, Canteaut (2018)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{F}=\max _{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, a \neq 0} \mathrm{BCT}_{F}(a, b), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\star$ The smaller the value of $\beta_{F}$, the better the boomerang cryptanalysis resistance (here $n=m$ and $F$ bijective).

* To secure a block cipher against cryptanalysis boomerang, it must use a function with a value of $\beta_{F}$ small.
$\hookrightarrow$ You have to know how to solve equations over finite fields or at least count the number of solutions : mathematically difficult !

An important example : solving the equation
$P_{a}(x):=x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$
the polynomial $P_{a}(x)\left(a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star}\right)$ appears in this cryptographic context (APN functions [Budaghyan, Carlet 2006], [Bracken, Tan, Tan 2014], [Canteaut, Perrin, Tian 2019])
but not only since there is an interest in other contexts :

- the general theory of finite fields
- the inverse Galois problem [Abhyankar, Cohen, Zieve, 2000];
- the construction of difference sets with Singer parameters [Dillon 2002];
- finding cross-correlation between $m$-sequences [Helleseth, Kholosha, Ness, 2007];
- constructing error correcting codes [Bracken, Helleseth 2009];
- constructions designs [Tang 2019];
- etc.

Solving $x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

## What do we know ? (before 2020)

- Solution for $k=1$ [Berlekamp-Rumsey-Solomon 1967-Williams, 1975]
- Partial results

Let $N_{a}$ be the number of solutions of the equation
$P_{a}(x):=x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

- In 2004 : [Bluher, 2004] the number of solutions $N_{a}$ are only 0,1 and 3 when $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$.
- In 2008 : [Helleseth-Kholosha 2008] got criteria for $N_{a}=1$ and an explicit expression of the unique solution when $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$.
- In 2014 : [Bracken-Tan-Tan 2014] presented a criterion for $N_{a}=0$ when $n$ is even and $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$.

After 2020 : [Kim and SM, FFA 2020] solving completely $P_{a}(x)=0$ (but further in 2021 for any $n, k$ and characteristic $p$ ) [papers published in the FFA journal with Kim and some members of his research team]

Main ingredients for the resolution of $P_{a}(x):=x^{k^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

## Ingredient 1 : Dickson polynomials

- Definition The Dickson polynomial of the first kind of degree $k$ in indeterminate $x$ and with parameter $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ is

$$
D_{k}(x, a)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor} \frac{k}{k-i}\binom{k-i}{i} a^{k} x^{k-2 i}
$$

where $\lfloor k / 2\rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to $k / 2$. In this talk, we consider only Dickson polynomials $D_{k}(x, 1)$, that we shall denote $D_{k}(x)$.

- A lot of properties For all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, for any integer $h, k>0$.
- $\operatorname{deg} D_{k}=k$;
- $D_{k}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)=x^{k}+\frac{1}{x^{k}}$;
- $D_{k}$ permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k, 2^{2 n}-1\right)=1$;
- $D_{h k}(x)=D_{h}\left(D_{k}(x)\right)$;
- etc.

Main ingredients for the resolution of $P_{a}(x):=x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

Let $k$ and $n$ be two positive integers. Ingredient 2 : Müller-Cohen-Matthews polynomials $f_{k, d}$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ as follows :

$$
f_{k, d}(X):=\frac{T_{k}\left(X^{c}\right)^{d}}{X^{2^{k}}}
$$

where

$$
T_{k}(X):=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} X^{2^{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad c d=2^{k}+1
$$

A basic property for such polynomials $f_{k, 2^{k}+1}($ when $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1)$ :

1. If $k$ is odd, then $f_{k, 2^{k}+1}$ is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ ([Müller, Cohen, Matthews 1994]).
2. If $k$ is even, then $f_{k, 2^{k}+1}$ is 2-to-1 on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ ([Dillon, Dobbertin 2004]).

The two related problems for solving $P_{a}(x):=x^{q+1}+x+a=0 ; q=2^{k}$, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$

If $k$ is odd, since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1,2^{n}-1\right)=1$, the zeros of $P_{a}(x)$ are the images of the zeros of $P_{a}\left(x^{q-1}\right)$ by the map $x \mapsto x^{q-1}$.
Now $f_{k, q+1}$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ by Ingredient 2.
Therefore, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$, there exists a unique $Y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ such that $a=\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}\left(x^{q-1}\right)=x^{q^{2}-1}+x^{q-1}+\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $t x$ to $X$ in the above identity with $q^{q^{2}-q}=Y^{q} T_{k}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)^{2}$, we get :

$$
P_{a}\left(x^{q-1}\right)=\frac{1}{Y^{q-1}\left(f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}}\left(X^{q^{2}-1}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} Y^{q-2^{i}}\right) X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}\right)
$$

The two related problems for solving $P_{a}(x):=x^{q+1}+x+a=0 ; q=2^{k}$, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$
we use a key polynomial identity involving Dickson polynomials : a key result due to [Bluher, 2016] :
In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X, Y]$ (where $q:=2^{k}$ ) we have the identity

$$
X^{q^{2}-1}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} Y^{q-2^{i}}\right) X^{q-1}+Y^{q-1}=\prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\left(D_{q+1}(w X)-Y\right)
$$

thus

$$
P_{a}\left(x^{q-1}\right)=\frac{1}{Y^{q-1}\left(f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}}\left(\prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\left(D_{q+1}(w X)-Y\right)\right) .
$$

when $k$ is odd, finding the zeros of $P_{a}\left(x^{q-1}\right)$ amounts to determine preimages of $Y$ under the Dickson polynomial $D_{q+1}$.

The two related problems for solving $P_{a}(x):=x^{q+1}+x+a=0 ; q=2^{k}$, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$

When $k$ is even, $f_{k, q+1}$ is 2-to-1, fortunately, we can go back to the odd case by rewriting the equation. Indeed, for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{a}(x) & =x^{2^{k}+1}+x+a=\left(x^{2^{n-k}+1}+x^{2^{n-k}}+a^{2^{n-k}}\right)^{2^{k}} \\
& =\left((x+1)^{2^{n-k}+1}+(x+1)+a^{2^{n-k}}\right)^{2^{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mid P_{a}(x)=0\right\}=\left\{x+1 \mid x^{2^{n-k}+1}+x+a^{2^{n-k}}=0, x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k$ is even, then $n-k$ is odd, and we can reduce it to the odd case.

The two related problems for solving $P_{a}(x):=x^{q+1}+x+a=0 ; q=2^{k}$, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$

To summarize all the above discussions :
Let $k$ and $n$ be two positive integers such that $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$.

1. Let $k$ be odd and $q=2^{k}$. Let $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ be (uniquely) defined by $a=\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}}$. Then,

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mid P_{a}(x)=0\right\}=\left\{\left.\frac{z^{q-1}}{Y T_{k}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}} \right\rvert\, D_{q+1}(z)=Y, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\} .
$$

2. Let $k$ be even and $q^{\prime}=2^{n-k}$. Let $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ be (uniquely) defined by $a^{q^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{f_{n-k, q^{\prime}+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{\prime}}}}$. Then,

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mid P_{a}(x)=0\right\}=\left\{\left.1+\frac{z^{q^{\prime}-1}}{Y^{\prime} T_{n-k}\left(\frac{1}{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^{\prime}}}} \right\rvert\, D_{q^{\prime}+1}(z)=Y^{\prime}, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\} .
$$

The two related problems for solving $P_{a}(x):=x^{q+1}+x+a=0 ; q=2^{k}$, $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$
we can split the problem of finding the zeros in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ of $P_{a}$ into two independent problems : with odd $k$.

A For $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$, find the unique element $Y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\frac{q}{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

B For $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$, find the preimages in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ of $Y$ under the Dickson polynomial $D_{q+1}$, that is, find the elements of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q+1}^{-1}(Y)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star} \mid D_{q+1}(z)=Y\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## On Problem A : find $Y$ such that $a^{\frac{q}{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)}$

Solve Problem A

- We used

1. Müller-Cohen-Matthews polynomials
2. machinery for computing the computational inverse of a family of polynomials

- Problem A amounts to finding the solutions to a linear equation of the form $x^{q}+x=b$.

An approach to compute the computational inverse of an element of a family of polynomials

For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{q+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} x^{q^{i}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q:=2^{k}, k^{\prime}<n$ is the inverse of $k$ modulo $n$.
We have

- if $\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)=1$ and $k^{\prime}$ is odd, then $Q_{k, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ ([Dillon, Dobbertin, 1999]);
- a relation ([Dillon, 1999]) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(X)=Q_{k, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(X+X^{2^{k}}\right)=f_{k, q+1}\left(X+X^{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{k}(X)=(X+1)^{2^{2 k}-2^{k}+1}+X^{2^{2 k}-2^{k}+1}+1$;

An approach to compute the computational inverse of an element of a family of polynomials [continued]

- the polynomial representation of the inverse $R_{k, k^{\prime}}$ of $Q_{k, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ has been studied in [Dillon, Dobbertin, 2004] by introducing the following sequences of polynomials :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(x)=x, A_{2}(x)=x^{q+1}, A_{i+2}(x)=x^{q^{i+1}} A_{i+1}(x)+x^{q^{i+1}-q^{i}} A_{i}(x), \quad i \geq 1 \\
& B_{1}(x)=0, B_{2}(x)=x^{q-1}, B_{i+2}(x)=x^{q^{i+1}} B_{i+1}(x)+x^{q^{i+1}-q^{i}} B_{i}(x), \quad i \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

The polynomial expression of $R_{k, k^{\prime}}$ is then
$R_{k, k^{\prime}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} A_{i}(x)+B_{k^{\prime}}(x)$.

## On Problem A : find $Y$ such that $a^{\frac{q}{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)}$

Solve Problem A :

- we use the fact : $\Delta_{k}(X)=Q_{k, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(X+X^{2^{k}}\right)=f_{k, q+1}\left(X+X^{2}\right)$;
- we use a well-known key decomposition : every element $z$ of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star}$ can be written (twice) $z=c+\frac{1}{c}$ where $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star} \cup M$ with $c \neq 1$ and where $M=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2 n}} \mid \zeta^{2^{n}+1}=1\right\}$
- One has $Y=T+\frac{1}{T}$ where $T \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{2}$ or $T \in M \backslash\{1\}$ where $M=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2 n}} \mid \zeta^{2^{n}+1}=1\right\}$ (observe that $M \backslash\{1\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^{2 n}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ ). Consequently :

$$
\frac{1}{Y}=\left(\frac{1}{T+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{T+1}
$$

## On Problem A : find $Y$ such that $a^{\frac{q}{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)}$

Solve Problem A : We get

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\frac{q}{2}}=\left(f_{k, q+1}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)\right)^{-1} & \Longleftrightarrow a^{-\frac{q}{2}}=\Delta_{k}\left(\frac{1}{T+1}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a^{-\frac{q}{2}}=Q_{k, k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(\left(\frac{1}{T+1}\right)^{q}+\left(\frac{1}{T+1}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, Problem A amounts to finding the solutions to a linear equation of the form $x^{q}+x=b$, that we solved.

On Problem B : find $D_{q+1}^{-1}(Y)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star} \mid D_{q+1}(z)=Y\right\}$

Solve Problem B :

1. Write $z=c+\frac{1}{c}$ where $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star}$ or $c \in M \backslash\{1\}$, where

$$
M=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2 n}} \mid \zeta^{2^{n}+1}=1\right\} ;
$$

One gets (using properties of Dickson polynomials)
$Y=D_{q+1}(z)=c^{q+1}+\frac{1}{c^{q+1}}=T+\frac{1}{T}$ with $T=c^{q+1}$.
The equation $T+\frac{1}{T}=Y$ has two solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star} \cup M$ for any
$Y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{\star}$ because it is equivalent to the quadratic equation
$\left(\frac{T}{Y}\right)^{2}+\frac{T}{Y}=\frac{1}{Y^{2}}$ and that ${T r_{2} 2^{2 n} / 2}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)=0$.
2. We handle the two situations separately of those two cases that occur depending on the value of $\operatorname{Tr}_{2^{n} / 2}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)$ :

- If $\operatorname{Tr}_{2^{n} / 2}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)=0, T+\frac{1}{T}=Y$ has two solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{2}$;
- If $\operatorname{Tr}_{2^{n} / 2}\left(\frac{1}{Y}\right)=1, T+\frac{1}{T}=Y$ has two solutions in $M \backslash\{1\}$.


## Impacts of the resolution of our main equation

- (1) A short-proof APN-ness property of Kasami functions (in 2021)
- (2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials discovered in 2019 from [Perrin, Udovenko, Biryukov, Crypto'2016] (in 2022)
- (3) Solving the equation $X^{2^{3 n}+2^{2 n}+2^{n}-1}+(X+1)^{2^{3 n}+2^{2 n}+2^{n}-1}=b$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4 n}}$ and an alternative proof of a conjecture on the differential spectrum of the related monomial functions (in 2023)


## (1) A direct proof of the APN-ness property of Kasami functions

Kasami (APN) function : $F(X)=X^{q^{2}-q+1}, q=2^{k}, \operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$
Recall that $F$ is said to be almost perfect nonlinear (APN) if for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}{ }^{*}$ and every $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, the equation $F(x)+F(x+a)=b$ has 0 or 2 solutions.

A power function $F(X)=X^{d}$ is APN if and only if, for every $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ the system

$$
\begin{cases}X+Y & =1  \tag{12}\\ X^{d}+Y^{d} & =b\end{cases}
$$

has at most one pair $\{X, Y\}$ of solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

## (1) A direct proof of the APN-ness property of Kasami functions

 Let $n$ odd, $F=G_{2} \circ G_{1}^{-1}$ where $G_{1}(X)=X^{q+1}$ and $G_{2}(x)=X^{q^{3}+1}$.Equation (12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{cases}x^{q+1}+y^{q+1} & =1  \tag{13}\\ x^{q^{3}+1}+y^{q^{3}+1} & =b\end{cases}
$$

Theorem : System (13) has at most one pair $\{x, y\}$ of solutions proving that $F$ is APN ([Carlet, Kim, SM, DCC 2021])
Sketch of the proof : Letting $y=x+z, v=z^{q^{2}-1}$ and $c=b+1$,

- Proving Equation (13) has at most one pair $\{x, y\}$ of solutions is equivalent to proving that ( $\star \star$ ) has at most one solution $v$ when $(\star)$ has solutions :

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{q}+\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) & =\frac{1}{v^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}+1 \quad(\star) \\ (v+1)^{q+1}+c v & =0^{(\star \star)}\end{cases}
$$

for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

- For every $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, the cubic equation $(v+1)^{q+1}+c v=0$ has at most one solution $v$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such that $T_{1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{v \frac{1}{q-T}}+1\right)=0$.
(2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials

In Crypto'2016, [Perrin, Udovenko, Biryukov, 2016] discovered the butterfly structure (that contains the Dillon APN permutation of six variables), later generalized [Canteaut, Perrin, Tian, 2019], which turns out to be a powerful approach that generates infinite families of cryptographic functions with best-known nonlinearity and differential properties.
Let $m$ odd, $k$ odd, $\operatorname{gcd}(m, k)=1, Q=2^{m}, q=2^{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X):=\epsilon_{1} \bar{X}^{q+1}+\epsilon_{2} \bar{X}^{q} X+\epsilon_{3} \bar{X} X^{q}+\epsilon_{4} X^{q+1}, \quad \bar{X}=X^{Q} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\underline{\epsilon}=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}, \epsilon_{4}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{4}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right), \text { if } k \text { is odd }  \tag{15}\\
\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{4}\right), \text { if } k \text { is even, }
\end{array} \quad \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}^{4}\right.
$$

and $\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}.\right)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{1}=\alpha^{q}+\alpha+1  \tag{16}\\
\varepsilon_{2}=\alpha^{q+1}+\alpha+\beta+1 \\
\varepsilon_{3}=\alpha^{q+1}+\alpha^{q}+\beta+1 \\
\varepsilon_{4}=\alpha^{q+1}+\alpha^{q}+\alpha+\beta
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials
[Li, Li, Helleseth, Qu, 2021]
$\varphi_{1}=\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{3}+\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{4}, \varphi_{2}=\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{4}, \varphi_{3}=\epsilon_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{4}^{2}$,
$\varphi_{4}=\epsilon_{1}^{2}+\epsilon_{2}^{2}+\epsilon_{3}^{2}+\epsilon_{4}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=\left\{\underline{\epsilon} \text { is given by (15) and (16) } \mid \varphi_{4} \neq 0,\left(\frac{\varphi_{2}}{\varphi_{4}}\right)^{q}=\frac{\varphi_{1}}{\varphi_{4}}\right\} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem (Sufficiency condition) : $f_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X)$ is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}$ with boomerang uniformity 4 if $\underline{\epsilon} \in \Gamma_{0}$

Conjecture (Necessity condition) : $f_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X)$ a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}$ with boomerang uniformity 4 only if $\underline{\epsilon} \in \Gamma_{0}$
(2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials
[Kim, SM, Choe, Lee, Lee, Jo, DCC 2022]
Problem : Identify $\Gamma=\left\{\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}^{4} \mid f_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X)\right.$ a permutation on $\left.\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}\right\}$.
Question: $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}$ ?
$\mu_{Q+1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}} \mid x^{Q+1}=1\right\}$
Proposition : $f_{\epsilon}(X)$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}$ if and only if $g_{\epsilon}(X)=X^{q+1} h_{\epsilon}(X)^{Q-1}$ is a permutation of $\mu_{Q+1} \backslash\{1\}$ where $h_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X)=\epsilon_{1} X^{q+1}+\epsilon_{2} X^{q}+\epsilon_{3} X+\epsilon_{4}$.
Idea of the proof :

- $f_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X)=X^{q+1} h_{\underline{\epsilon}}\left(X^{Q-1}\right)$
- Since $\operatorname{gcd}(q+1, Q-1)=1, X^{q+1} h_{\epsilon}\left(X^{Q-1}\right)$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}$ if and only if $g_{\underline{\epsilon}}(X)=X^{q+1} h_{\epsilon}(X)^{Q-1}$ is a permutation of $\mu_{Q+1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}} \mid x^{Q+1}=1\right\}$ [Zieve, 2009].
- $g_{\epsilon}(1)=1$.
(2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials
[Kim et al., DCC 2022] :
- $\mu_{Q+1} \backslash\{1\}=\left\{\left.\frac{y+1+\gamma}{y+\gamma} \right\rvert\, y \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}\right\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{Q}, \gamma^{2}=\gamma+1$.
- $\Gamma$ is the subset of $\mathbb{F}_{Q}^{4}$ of all $\underline{\epsilon}$ such that equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{y+1+\gamma}{y+\gamma}\right)=\frac{a+1+\gamma}{a+\gamma} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{Q}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}$
(2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials

Linking to "cubic" equations : Introducing polynomials $R_{\underline{\epsilon}}, S_{\epsilon}$ and $T_{\underline{\epsilon}}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{Q}[X]$ whose coefficients depends on $\underline{\epsilon}$, we prove

- When $\left(\left(R_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)\right)^{q}+S_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)=0\right.$, Equation (18) has two solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{Q}$.
- When $\left(\left(R_{\epsilon}(a)\right)^{q}+S_{\epsilon}(a) \neq 0\right.$, Equation (18) has one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{Q}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{q+1}+Y+U_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

has one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{Q}$ where
$Y=\left(\left(R_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)\right)^{q}+S_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)\right)^{-1}\left(y^{q}+R_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)\right)$ and $U_{\underline{\epsilon}}(a)=\frac{\left(R_{\epsilon}(a) S_{\epsilon}(a)+T_{\epsilon}(a)\right)^{q}}{\left(R_{\underline{\varepsilon}}(a)^{q}+S_{\underline{\varepsilon}}(a)\right)^{q+1}}$.
(2) A proof of the bijectivity property of an "exceptional" cryptographic family of quadrinomials

Proposition :
$\Gamma=\left\{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{Q}^{4} \mid \varphi_{4} \neq 0,\left(\frac{\varphi_{2}}{\varphi_{4}}\right)^{q}=\frac{\varphi_{1}}{\varphi_{4}}, \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{m}\left(\frac{\varphi_{3}}{\varphi_{4}}\right)=1+k\right\} \supsetneq \Gamma_{0}$
Theorem [Kim et al. 2022] :
$f_{\underline{\varepsilon}}(X)$ a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}$ if and only if $\underline{\epsilon} \in \Gamma$.
Corollary [Kim, SM, Kim, Jo (2022)] : $f_{\epsilon}(X)$ a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{Q^{2}}$ with Boomerang uniformity 4 if and only if $\underline{\epsilon} \in \Gamma_{0}$.

Proposition [Kim, SM, Kim, Jo (2022)] :
$\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}=\left\{\underline{\epsilon} \in \Gamma \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{m}\left(\frac{\varphi_{2} \varphi_{2} Q_{4}^{2}}{\varphi_{4}^{2}}\right)=1\right.\right\}$.

## Conclusion

Still much to do concerning the resolution of equations over finite fields!

Some open problems (amount many others) :

- Solve the equations in the form $F(x)+F(x+1)=b$, where $F$ is a power functions of finite fields in characteristic 2.
- Study the boomerang uniformity of known functions $F$ whose $\delta(F)=4$.

