Fusion and pearls

Valentina Grazian



Young Researchers Algebra Conference 2017 Naples, 23rd May 2017



$$c_g : G \to G \qquad x \mapsto x^g := g^{-1}xg$$

$$c_g : G \to G \qquad x \mapsto x^g := g^{-1}xg$$

The set $\text{Inn}(G) := \{c_g : G \to G \mid g \in G\}$ is a group with composition, called the group of Inner Automorphisms of G.

$$c_g : G \to G \qquad x \mapsto x^g := g^{-1}xg$$

The set $\text{Inn}(G) := \{c_g : G \to G \mid g \in G\}$ is a group with composition, called the group of Inner Automorphisms of G.

Definition

Two subgroups $P, Q \leq G$ are *fused* in G if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $P^g = Q$ (recall $P^g = \{x^g | x \in P\}$).

$$c_g : G \to G \qquad x \mapsto x^g := g^{-1}xg$$

The set $Inn(G) := \{c_g : G \to G \mid g \in G\}$ is a group with composition, called the group of Inner Automorphisms of G.

Definition

Two subgroups $P, Q \leq G$ are *fused* in G if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $P^g = Q$ (recall $P^g = \{x^g | x \in P\}$).

Definition

Let p be a prime and let S be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. The fusion category of G on S is the category $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ whose objects are the subgroups of S and whose morphism sets are:

$$\operatorname{Mor}_{\mathcal{F}_S(G)}(P,Q) = \{ c_g |_P \colon P \to Q | g \in G, P^g \le Q \},\$$

for every $P, Q \leq S$.

Pick a p-group S.









 $G = D_8$



$$S = D_8$$
$$D_8 := \langle a, x \mid a^4 = x^2 = 1, a^x = a^3 \rangle$$

 $G=\mathrm{D}_8$

Consider the conjugation maps by elements $g \in G$, that fuse some elements/subgroups of S.

fusion is determined by $Inn(D_8)$



$$S = D_8$$

 $D_8 := \langle a, x \mid a^4 = x^2 = 1, a^x = a^3 \rangle$
 $G = \text{Sym}(4)$
 $a = (1234), x = (13).$



 $S = D_8$

$$D_8 := \langle a, x \mid a^4 = x^2 = 1, a^x = a^3 \rangle$$

$$G = \text{Sym}(4)$$

 $a = (1234), x = (13)$

$$b = (123)$$

 $(a^2)^b = ((13)(24))^{(123)} = (12)(34) = ax$

fusion is determined by $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{D}_8) \text{ and } \mathrm{Aut}(E) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(2) \cong S_3$





Pick a p-group S.

$$S = D_8$$
$$D_8 := \langle a, x \mid a^4 = x^2 = 1, a^x = a^3 \rangle$$

How many ways to fuse elements of D_8 ?

 $-\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{D}_8}(\mathrm{D}_8)$ (no essential subgroups)

 $-\mathcal{F}_{D_8}(S_4)$ (*E* essential)

 $-\mathcal{F}_{D_8}(A_6)$ (E and P essential)



Pick a p-group S.

$$S = D_8$$
$$D_8 := \langle a, x \mid a^4 = x^2 = 1, a^x = a^3 \rangle$$

How many ways to fuse elements of D_8 ?

a D

 $-\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{D}_8}(\mathrm{D}_8)$ (no essential subgroups)

 $-\mathcal{F}_{D_8}(S_4)$ (*E* essential)

 $-\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{D}_8}(A_6)$ (E and P essential)



Definition (Fusion System)

A Fusion System \mathcal{F} on S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S as and with morphisms $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \bigcup_{P,Q < S} \operatorname{Inj}(P,Q)$ such that

 $Inn(S) \subseteq Mor(\mathcal{F}),$

3 $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed with respect to restriction and inversion.

Definition (Fusion System)

A Fusion System \mathcal{F} on S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S as and with morphisms $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \bigcup_{P,Q < S} \operatorname{Inj}(P,Q)$ such that

- $Inn(S) \subseteq Mor(\mathcal{F}),$
- 3 $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed with respect to restriction and inversion.

A Fusion System over the p-group S is Saturated if it satisfies certain extra properties (Sylow and extension properties).

Definition (Fusion System)

A Fusion System \mathcal{F} on S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S as and with morphisms $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \bigcup_{P,Q < S} \operatorname{Inj}(P,Q)$ such that

- $Inn(S) \subseteq Mor(\mathcal{F}),$
- 3 $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed with respect to restriction and inversion.

A Fusion System over the p-group S is Saturated if it satisfies certain extra properties (Sylow and extension properties).

If $S \in Syl_p(G)$ then the fusion category $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is a saturated fusion system on S.

Definition (Fusion System)

A Fusion System \mathcal{F} on S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S as and with morphisms $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \bigcup_{P,Q \leq S} \operatorname{Inj}(P,Q)$ such that

- $Inn(S) \subseteq Mor(\mathcal{F}),$
- 3 $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed with respect to restriction and inversion.

A Fusion System over the p-group S is Saturated if it satisfies certain extra properties (Sylow and extension properties).

If $S \in Syl_p(G)$ then the fusion category $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is a saturated fusion system on S.

If \mathcal{F} is a saturated fusion system on S and there is no finite group G such that $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$, then \mathcal{F} is called exotic.

Definition (Fusion System)

A Fusion System \mathcal{F} on S is a category whose objects are the subgroups of S as and with morphisms $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \bigcup_{P,Q \leq S} \operatorname{Inj}(P,Q)$ such that

- $Inn(S) \subseteq Mor(\mathcal{F}),$
- 3 $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed with respect to restriction and inversion.

A Fusion System over the p-group S is Saturated if it satisfies certain extra properties (Sylow and extension properties).

If $S \in Syl_p(G)$ then the fusion category $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is a saturated fusion system on S.

If \mathcal{F} is a saturated fusion system on S and there is no finite group G such that $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$, then \mathcal{F} is called exotic.

Alperin-Goldschmidt Fusion Theorem

Let \mathcal{F} be a Saturated Fusion System over the *p*-group *S*. Then \mathcal{F} is completely determined by the automorphism group of certain subgroups of *S*, called Essential Subgroups.

Let $S = D_8$. E and P are the only subgroups of S that can be essential and $E \cong P \cong C_2 \times C_2$.



Let $S = D_8$. E and P are the only subgroups of S that can be essential and $E \cong P \cong C_2 \times C_2$.



Question

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a saturated fusion system on a *p*-group S and there exists an essential subgroup E of S such that $E \cong C_p \times C_p$. What can we say about \mathcal{F} and S?

Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on the p-group S.

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Let $\mathcal F$ be a saturated fusion system on the p-group S.

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Recall: A p-group P has exponent p if for every $x \in P$ we have $x^p = 1$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on the p-group S.

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Recall: A p-group P has exponent p if for every $x \in P$ we have $x^p = 1$. A p-group P is elementary abelian if it is abelian and has exponent p $(P \cong C_p \times C_p \times \cdots \times C_p)$.

Let $\mathcal F$ be a saturated fusion system on the p-group S.

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Recall: A p-group P has exponent p if for every $x \in P$ we have $x^p = 1$. A p-group P is elementary abelian if it is abelian and has exponent p $(P \cong C_p \times C_p \times \cdots \times C_p)$.

Note that pearls are the smallest candidates for abelian and non-abelian essential subgroups of a p-group.

Fact: essential subgroups are self-centralizing

If E is an essential subgroup of S then

$$C_S(E) = \{x \in S | ex = xe \text{ for every } e \in E\} \le E.$$

Fact: essential subgroups are self-centralizing

If E is an essential subgroup of S then

 $C_S(E) = \{x \in S | ex = xe \text{ for every } e \in E\} \le E.$

Theorem (Suzuki): If a p-group S contains a subgroup E of order p^2 such that $C_S(E) = E$ then S has maximal nilpotency class.

Fact: essential subgroups are self-centralizing

If E is an essential subgroup of S then

 $C_S(E) = \{x \in S | ex = xe \text{ for every } e \in E\} \le E.$

Theorem (Suzuki): If a p-group S contains a subgroup E of order p^2 such that $C_S(E) = E$ then S has maximal nilpotency class.

Theorem

If a p-group S contains a pearl then S has maximal nilpotency class.

Let G be a finite group.

Lower central series of G: $G_2 := [G, G]$, $G_i := [G_{i-1}, G]$ for every $i \ge 3$.

Let G be a finite group.

Lower central series of G: $G_2 := [G, G]$, $G_i := [G_{i-1}, G]$ for every $i \ge 3$. Upper central series of G: $Z_1(G) := Z(G)$, $Z_i(G) \le G$ is such that

 $Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{i-1}(G))$ for every $i \ge 2$.

Let G be a finite group.

Lower central series of G: $G_2 := [G, G]$, $G_i := [G_{i-1}, G]$ for every $i \ge 3$. Upper central series of G: $Z_1(G) := Z(G)$, $Z_i(G) \le G$ is such that

$$Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{i-1}(G))$$
 for every $i \ge 2$.

G is nilpotent if there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_{t+1} = 1$ (equivalently $Z_t(G) = G$), and the smallest t with this property is the nilpotency class of G.

Let G be a finite group.

Lower central series of G: $G_2 := [G, G]$, $G_i := [G_{i-1}, G]$ for every $i \ge 3$. Upper central series of G: $Z_1(G) := Z(G)$, $Z_i(G) \le G$ is such that

$$Z_i(G)/Z_{i-1}(G) = Z(G/Z_{i-1}(G)) \text{ for every } i \ge 2.$$

G is nilpotent if there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_{t+1} = 1$ (equivalently $Z_t(G) = G$), and the smallest t with this property is the nilpotency class of G.

Every finite p-group is nilpotent. A p-group S of order p^n having nilpotency class n-1 is said to have maximal nilpotency class.







$$S = Z_{n-1}$$

Set $S_1 := C_S(S_2/S_4) =$
 $= \{x \in S \mid [x, g] \in S_4 \text{ for every } g \in S_2\}.$
 S_1
 $Z_{n-2} = S_2$
 $Z_{n-3} = S_3$
 $Z_{n-4} = S_4$
 $Z_2 = S_{n-2}$
 $Z_1 = S_{n-1}$
 $1 = S_n$

$$S = Z_{n-1}$$

$$S = C_{S}(S_{2}/S_{4}) =$$

$$= \{x \in S \mid [x, g] \in S_{4} \text{ for every } g \in S_{2}\}.$$

$$S_{1}$$

$$S_{1}$$

$$Z_{n-2} = S_{2}$$

$$Z_{n-3} = S_{3}$$

$$Z_{n-4} = S_{4}$$

$$Z_{2} = S_{n-2}$$

$$Z_{1} = S_{n-1}$$

$$I = S_{n}$$

$$S = Z_{n-1}$$

$$S = Z_{n-1}$$

$$S = S_{n-1}$$

$$S =$$

$$S = Z_{n-1}$$

$$S = Z_{n-1}$$

$$S_{1}$$

$$Z_{n-2} = S_{2}$$

$$Z_{n-3} = S_{3}$$

$$Z_{n-4} = S_{4}$$

$$S_{1}$$

$$S_{1}$$

$$Z_{n-2} = S_{2}$$

$$S_{2} \leq S_{1};$$

$$S_{2} \leq S_{1};$$

$$S_{1} = [S_{1}: S_{2}] = p;$$

$$S_{1} \text{ is characteristic in } S; \text{ and}$$

$$Z_{2} = S_{n-2}$$

$$Z_{1} = S_{n-1}$$

 $= Z_{n-1}$ S_{1} $Z_{n-2} = S_{2}$ $Z_{n-3} = S_{3}$ $Z_{n-4} = S_{4}$ $S_{1} = C_{S}(S_{i}/S_{i+2}) \text{ for every}$ $2 \le i \le n-3.$ Set $S_1 := C_S(S_2/S_4) =$ $S = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ $= \{ x \in S \mid [x, q] \in S_4 \text{ for every } q \in S_2 \}.$ $1 = S_n$



Set $S_1 := C_S(S_2/S_4) =$ $= \{ x \in S \mid [x, g] \in S_4 \text{ for every } g \in S_2 \}.$

•
$$S_2 \le S_1;$$

•
$$[S: S_1] = [S_1: S_2] = p_1$$

• S₁ is characteristic in S; and

•
$$S_1 = C_S(S_i/S_{i+2})$$
 for every $2 \le i \le n-3$.



Set $S_1 := C_S(S_2/S_4) =$ $S_{1} = Z_{n-1}$ $= \{x \in S \mid [x,g]$ $S_{1} = C_{S}(Z_{2}(S))$ $S_{1} = C_{S}(Z_{2}(S))$ $S_{n-2} = S_{2}$ $S_{2} \leq S_{1};$ $S_{2} \leq S_{1};$ $S_{1} = [S]$ $S_{1} \text{ is charact}$ $S_{1} = C_{S}(S_{i}/2)$ $S_{1} = C_{S}(S_{i}/2)$ $= \{ x \in S \mid [x, q] \in S_4 \text{ for every } q \in S_2 \}.$ • $[S: S_1] = [S_1: S_2] = p;$ • S₁ is characteristic in S; and • $S_1 = C_S(S_i/S_{i+2})$ for every $2 \le i \le n - 3.$ Another maximal subgroup of S is the group $C_S(S_{n-2}) = C_S(Z_2(S))$, that might coincide with S_1 .



Set $S_1 := C_S(S_2/S_4) =$ $= \{ x \in S \mid [x, g] \in S_4 \text{ for every } g \in S_2 \}.$

- $[S: S_1] = [S_1: S_2] = p;$
- S_1 is characteristic in S; and

•
$$S_1 = C_S(S_i/S_{i+2})$$
 for every
 $2 \le i \le n-3$.

Another maximal subgroup of S is the group $C_S(S_{n-2}) = C_S(Z_2(S))$, that might coincide with S_1 .

Every other maximal subgroup of S has maximal nilpotency class.

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Theorem

If a p-group S contains a pearl then S has maximal nilpotency class.

(Using the fact that essential subgroups are self-centralizing)

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Theorem

If a p-group S contains a pearl then S has maximal nilpotency class.

(Using the fact that essential subgroups are self-centralizing)

If p = 2 then S is either dihedral or semi-dihedral or generalized quaternion and \mathcal{F} is known. (Harada, Oliver).

Definition

A pearl is an essential subgroup E of S that is either elementary abelian of order p^2 ($E \cong C_p \times C_p$) or non-abelian of order p^3 and exponent p.

Theorem

If a p-group S contains a pearl then S has maximal nilpotency class.

(Using the fact that essential subgroups are self-centralizing)

If p = 2 then S is either dihedral or semi-dihedral or generalized quaternion and \mathcal{F} is known. (Harada, Oliver). From now on we assume that p is odd. In particular, if E is a pearl then either $E \cong C_p \times C_p$ or

$$E \cong p_{+}^{1+2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 \\ b & c & 1 \end{pmatrix} | a, b, c \in \mathrm{GF}(p) \right\} \le \mathrm{SL}_{3}(p)$$





Suppose $E \cong C_p \times C_p$ is an essential subgroup of the *p*-group *S*. Then:

• $C_S(E) = E;$

• there exists an automorphism φ of S $(\varphi \in Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(S))$ normalizing E such that

$$\varphi|_E = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\lambda \in \operatorname{GF}(p)$ having order p-1.



Suppose $E \cong C_p \times C_p$ is an essential subgroup of the *p*-group *S*. Then:

• $C_S(E) = E;$

• there exists an automorphism φ of S $(\varphi \in Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(S))$ normalizing E such that

$$\varphi|_E = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\lambda \in \operatorname{GF}(p)$ having order p-1.



Suppose $E \cong C_p \times C_p$ is an essential subgroup of the *p*-group *S*. Then:

• $C_S(E) = E;$

• there exists an automorphism φ of S $(\varphi \in Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(S))$ normalizing E such that

$$\varphi|_E = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\lambda \in GF(p)$ having order p-1.

So if $E = \langle e \rangle \times \langle z \rangle$, with $z \in \mathbb{Z}_1$, then

$$e \varphi = e^{\lambda^{-1}}$$
 and $z \varphi = z^{\lambda}$.



- Suppose $E \cong C_p \times C_p$ is an essential subgroup of the *p*-group *S*. Then:
 - $C_S(E) = E;$
- there exists an automorphism φ of S_{2} λ^{n-2} ($\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$)) normalizing E such that

$$\varphi|_E = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\lambda \in GF(p)$ having order p-1. So if $E = \langle e \rangle \times \langle z \rangle$, with $z \in Z_1$, then

$$e \varphi = e^{\lambda^{-1}}$$
 and $z \varphi = z^{\lambda}$

Note that φ acts on every S_i as described by the picture.

Fusion and Pearls

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements).

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

 |S| = p^{k+1}, S₁ is elementary abelian and F is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

• $|S| = p^{k+1}$, S_1 is elementary abelian and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);

• p = k + 1;

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^{k+1}$, S_1 is elementary abelian and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = k + 1;
- $k \ge 3$, $k+3 \le p \le 2k+1$ and S has exponent p and $|S| \le p^{p-1}$.

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^{k+1}$, S_1 is elementary abelian and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = k + 1;
- $k \ge 3$, $k+3 \le p \le 2k+1$ and S has exponent p and $|S| \le p^{p-1}$.

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^{k+1}$, S_1 is elementary abelian and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = k + 1;
- $k \ge 3$, $k+3 \le p \le 2k+1$ and S has exponent p and $|S| \le p^{p-1}$.

Theorem 2

Suppose that S has sectional rank k = 3 (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most 3 elements). Then $p \ge 3$ and one of the following holds:

• $|S| = p^4$, $S \in Syl_p(Sp_4(p))$ and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^{k+1}$, S_1 is elementary abelian and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = k + 1;
- $k \ge 3$, $k+3 \le p \le 2k+1$ and S has exponent p and $|S| \le p^{p-1}$.

Theorem 2

Suppose that S has sectional rank k = 3 (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most 3 elements). Then $p \ge 3$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^4$, $S \in Syl_p(Sp_4(p))$ and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = 3 + 1 (impossible);

Theorem 1

Suppose that S has sectional rank k (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most k elements). Then $p \ge k \ge 2$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^{k+1}$, S_1 is elementary abelian and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = k + 1;
- $k \ge 3$, $k+3 \le p \le 2k+1$ and S has exponent p and $|S| \le p^{p-1}$.

Theorem 2

Suppose that S has sectional rank k = 3 (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most 3 elements). Then $p \ge 3$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^4$, $S \in Syl_p(Sp_4(p))$ and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = 3 + 1 (impossible);
- p = 7, $S \cong$ SmallGroup $(7^5, 37)$ (has order 7^5 and exponent 7) and \mathcal{F} is simple and exotic.

Theorem 2

Let p be an odd prime and let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on the p-group S containing a pearl E. Suppose that S has sectional rank k = 3 (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most 3 elements). Then $p \ge 3$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^4$, $S \in Syl_p(Sp_4(p))$ and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = 7, S ≅ SmallGroup(7⁵, 37) (has order 7⁵ and exponent 7), E ≅ C₇ × C₇ and F is simple and exotic.

 $S = SmallGroup(7^5, 37)$



Theorem 2

Let p be an odd prime and let \mathcal{F} be a saturated fusion system on the p-group S containing a pearl. Suppose that S has sectional rank k = 3 (every subgroup of S can be generated by at most 3 elements). Then $p \ge 3$ and one of the following holds:

- $|S| = p^4$, $S \in Syl_p(Sp_4(p))$ and \mathcal{F} is known (Craven, Oliver, Semeraro);
- p = 7, S ≅ SmallGroup(7⁵, 37) (has order 7⁵ and exponent 7), E ≅ C₇ × C₇ and F is simple and exotic.

Theorem 3

Let p be an odd prime, $p \ge 5$, let \mathcal{F} be a simple fusion system on the p-group S and suppose that S has sectional rank k = 3. Then \mathcal{F} contains a pearl (and so \mathcal{F} and S are as described in Theorem 2).

Grazie.