



Locally Maximal Subgroups and the Normalizer Condition in p -Groups

A.O. ASAR

(Received Dec. 13, 2021; Accepted Nov. 21, 2022 — Communicated by F. de Giovanni)

Abstract

This work is a continuation of the investigation of a locally nilpotent p -group satisfying the normalizer condition by imposing certain conditions on locally maximal subgroups, where $p \neq 2$. A sufficient condition is obtained for making every abelian-by-elementary abelian normal subgroup of such a group to be abelian. If in addition the group in question is hyperabelian, then it is abelian, where $p \geq 5$. In the general case if a locally nilpotent p -group satisfies the mentioned condition above ($p \neq 2$), then it contains a unique maximal normal abelian subgroup.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 20E25, 20F19, 20F50

Keywords: normalizer condition; locally nilpotent group;
locally maximal subgroup

1 Introduction

This is a continuation of the study of a locally nilpotent p -group G which satisfies the normalizer condition and/or is a Fitting group in order to search for imperfectness conditions and to obtain some information about its inner structure when G is perfect (see [1, 2, 3, 5]). In [2, 3], it was shown that if G is a Fitting p -group satisfying the normalizer condition and if in every homomorphic image of G certain (w, V) -maximal subgroups satisfy the $(**)$ -condition (see below for definitions), then, under certain conditions, G cannot be perfect (see [2, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Theorem 1.1]). Now it follows

from Theorem 1.5 (see below) that a group G satisfying the hypotheses of these theorems is actually abelian. In this work it is shown that in a locally nilpotent p -group satisfying the normalizer condition only and whose locally maximal subgroups have large normalizers (see definitions below), every normal abelian-by-elementary abelian subgroup is abelian, where $p \geq 5$ (see Theorem 1.1 (b)). If in addition G is hyperabelian, then it is abelian (see Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4). In the general case ($p \neq 2$), G contains a unique maximal normal abelian subgroup (see Theorem 1.1 (a)).

But before stating the main results it will be suitable to recall some of the definitions and notations given in [2] and [3] since they form the basis of this work. Let G be a group, $w \in G \setminus 1$ and V be a finitely generated subgroup of G with $w \notin V$. Then the ordered pair (w, V) is called a $(*)$ -pair in G (note that in [2, 3]; that is in the definition of $\Lambda(w, V)$, $w \in G \setminus Z(G)$ but in the present definition there is no such a restriction on w , the only restriction is that $w \neq 1$). A subgroup E of G which is maximal with respect to the condition that

$$w \notin E \text{ but } V \leq E$$

is called (w, V) -maximal or maximal at (w, V) and if (w, V) is not mentioned, then it is called *locally maximal*. In addition let the following be defined.

$$E^*(w, V) = \{E : E \text{ is a } (w, V)\text{-maximal subgroup of } G\}$$

and

$$W^*(w, V) = \{\text{Core}_G(E) : E \in E^*(w, V)\}.$$

An element E of $E^*(w, V)$ is said to satisfy the $(**)$ -property, if

$$N_G(E) = N_G(E')$$

and (w, V) is said to satisfy $(**)$ if every element of $E^*(w, V)$ satisfies it. On the other hand if

$$N_G(\text{EC}_G(E)) \leq N_G(E),$$

then E is said to have a *large normalizer*.

Obviously $\text{EC}_G(E) \leq N_G(E) \leq N_G(\text{EC}_G(E))$. So if $N_G(E)$ is large, then $N_G(E) = N_G(\text{EC}_G(E))$. Put $N = N_G(E)$. Now if G satisfies the normalizer condition, N is large and $N \neq G$, then $\text{EC}_G(E) \neq N$. In-

deed if $EC_G(E) = N$, then $N_G(N) = N_G(EC_G(E)) = N_G(E) = N$, which cannot happen by the normalizer condition. This fact will be used without further notice.

Furthermore if E satisfies (**), then N is large (see Lemma 4.1), which shows that the first property is stronger than the second one.

In a locally nilpotent group G a locally maximal subgroup E behaves similar to a maximal subgroup M of G (if M exists), since $M \triangleleft G$ and so G/M is cyclic of order p . Also $N_G(E)/E$ is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.1, provided $p \neq 2$. Moreover,

$$N_G(M) = G = N_G(MC_G(M))$$

and so $N_G(M)$ is large. Every subgroup of a Dedekind group satisfies (**) since in this group every subgroup is normal and if it has odd exponent, then it is abelian by [12, 5.3.7].

Again let (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G . If there exists a proper subgroup L of G such that

$$w \in \langle V, y \rangle \text{ for every } y \in G \setminus L,$$

then (w, V, L) is called a $(*)$ -triple in G . This situation occurs when $\langle E^*(w, V) \rangle \neq G$. In this case L can be any proper subgroup of G containing $\langle E^*(w, V) \rangle$. This case was studied in [5]. By means of it a new characterization of a barely transitive p -group was given (see [5, Theorem 1.2 (a)]). Furthermore G cannot be generated by normal abelian subgroups (see [1, Lemma 2.2]); as was shown in [5], if G is minimal non-hypercentral or barely transitive, then $(*)$ -triples exist. Thus it follows that if either $E^*(w, V)$ contains locally maximal subgroups whose normalizers are large or $\langle E^*(w, V) \rangle \neq G$, then G cannot be generated by normal abelian subgroups (this author knows of no perfect locally nilpotent p -group other than McLain's characteristically simple group $M(Q, F)$ [12, 12.1.9] which can be generated by normal abelian subgroups).

As usual if a group G is solvable (nilpotent), then its derived length (nilpotent class) is denoted by $d(G)$ ($c(G)$). If $d(G) = 2$, then G is called *metabelian*. Also $\exp(G) = \max\{|g| : g \in G\}$ is called the *exponent* of G . It may be expressed as $\exp(G) < \infty$ or $\exp(G) = \infty$ according as it is finite or infinite, respectively. A group is called *hypercentral* if it has an ascending normal series with abelian factors (see [12, p.365]).

Definitions and notations are standard and may be found in [7, 8,

9, 10, 11, 12].

Theorem 1.1 *Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \neq 2$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Then the following hold.*

- (a) *Every abelian-by-elementary abelian normal nilpotent subgroup of G is abelian. In particular G contains a unique maximal normal abelian subgroup.*
- (b) *If $p \geq 5$, then every abelian-by-elementary abelian normal subgroup of G is abelian.*

Theorem 1.2 *Let G be a solvable p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \geq 5$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Then G is abelian.*

Theorem 1.3 *Let G be an hyperabelian p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \geq 5$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Then G is abelian.*

Corollary 1.4 *Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \geq 5$. Suppose that every proper normal subgroup of G is solvable and in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Then G is abelian.*

PROOF — Assume that G is not abelian. Then also G is not solvable by Theorem 1.2 and so G is perfect. Then G has an ascending normal series

$$1 = M_0 \triangleleft M_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_\alpha \triangleleft M_{\alpha+1} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_\lambda = G$$

with $G = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} M_\alpha$ since a minimal normal subgroup of G is abelian. But since $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is solvable for every $\alpha < \lambda$, the above series can be refined into an ascending normal series whose factors are abelian and so it follows that G is hyperabelian. But now since G must be abelian by Theorem 1.3, we get a contradiction and so G must be abelian. \square

The following is a complete characterization of the group given in [3, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.5 *Let G be a Fitting p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \neq 2$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup satisfying $(**)$. Then G is abelian.*

In the following simple example for $p = 3$, the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 is not satisfied.

Example Let $A = C_3$ and $C = C_{3^\infty}$ be a cyclic group of order 3 and a locally cyclic 3-group respectively and let $G = C \text{ wr } A$ be the standard wreath product. Then $G = [C]A$, where $[C]$ is the base group. Let

$$A = \langle a \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad C = \langle c_i : c_0 = 1 \text{ and } c_{i+1}^3 = c_i \text{ for every } i \geq 0 \rangle.$$

Thus $[C] = C_0 \times C_1 \times C_2$, where $C_i = C_{a^i} = C$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Each $f \in [C]$ is a function $f : A \rightarrow C$ with $f(a^i) \in C_i$, which is the i^{th} component of f . $[C]$ is an abelian group under point-wise multiplication; that is, for $f, g \in [C]$ and $y \in A$, $fg(y) = f(y)g(y)$. We define an action of A on $[C]$ as follows.

$$f^y(a) = f(ay^{-1}) \text{ for every } a, y \in A.$$

For example if $f = (c_0, c_1, c_2)$, then

$$f^a(1) = f(a^2) = c_2, \quad f^a(a) = f(1) = c_0, \quad f^a(a^2) = f(a) = c_1.$$

Thus $f^a = (c_2, c_0, c_1)$ and so every entry of f is moved one step to the right.

The correspondence $f \rightarrow f^y$ defines an automorphism of $[C]$; that is $(fg)^y = f^y g^y$ and so a monomorphism of A into $\text{Aut}([C])$, which we identify with A . The semidirect product of $[C]$ with A is called the wreath product of C with A and denoted by $C \text{ wr } A = [C]A$.

Let $f, g \in [C]$ and $a, b \in A$. Then

$$(f, a)(g, b) = (fg^{a^{-1}}, ab).$$

In particular

$$(f, a)^{-1} = ((f^{-1})^a, a^{-1}).$$

If we identify $(f, 1)$ and $(1, a)$ with f and a respectively, then $(f, a) = (f, 1)(1, a)$ becomes fa .

Let $w = (c_1, c_1, c_1)$ and consider the $(*)$ -pair $(w, 1)$, where $|c_1| = p$. Let $E \in E^*(w, 1)$ and let $N = N_G(E)$, $L = C_G(E)$. First suppose $E \leq [C]$. Then $L = [C]$ since $w \notin E$ and a cannot centralize any subgroup $\neq 1$ of $[C]$ not containing w . In this case $N = L$ and $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$.

Next suppose that $ga \in E$ for some $g \in [C]$. Then since $G = [C]\langle ga \rangle$, it follows that $E = ([C] \cap E)\langle ga \rangle = \langle ga \rangle$ since a cannot normalize any subgroup of $[C]$. Thus $\langle ga \rangle \langle w \rangle \leq L$. Since $N = (N \cap [C])\langle fa \rangle \leq L$, it follows again that $N = L$. Also obviously $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$. Thus we see that $W^*(w, 1) = 1$ but $(w, 1)$ cannot satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2.

2 First properties of G given in Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a locally finite p -group and let (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G , where $w \in G \setminus 1$. Let $E \in E^*(w, V)$. Then $N_G(E)/E$ is either (locally) cyclic or $p = 2$ and isomorphic to a (locally) quaternion group.*

PROOF — Put $N = N_G(E)$ and define $\bar{N} = N/E$. Let \bar{A} be a finite abelian subgroup of \bar{N} . Assume if possible that \bar{A} is not cyclic. Then \bar{A} contains an elementary abelian subgroup $\langle \bar{a} \rangle \times \langle \bar{b} \rangle$. But since E is (w, V) -maximal, we must have $w \in \langle a \rangle E$ and $w \in \langle b \rangle E$. Hence $w \in \langle a \rangle E \cap \langle b \rangle E = E$, but this is impossible since $w \notin E$. Therefore every finite abelian subgroup of \bar{N} is cyclic. In this case every finite subgroup of \bar{N} is cyclic or isomorphic to a generalized quaternion group by [7, Theorem 5.4.10 (ii)]. Therefore either \bar{N} is (locally) cyclic or isomorphic to a 2-group which is isomorphic to a (locally) quaternion group. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be an infinitely generated locally nilpotent group and let (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G , where $w \in G \setminus 1$. If $W^*(w, V) = 1$, then the following hold.*

- (a) $Z(G) \neq 1$.
- (b) *Let $E \in E^*(w, V)$ and put $N = N_G(E)$. Suppose that N/E is (locally) cyclic. If $N \triangleleft G$, then it is abelian. If in addition G satisfies the normalizer condition and N is large, then G is (locally) cyclic and $E = 1$. In particular if $w \notin Z(G)$, then $N \not\triangleleft G$.*

PROOF — (a) Assume if possible that $Z(G) = 1$. Now G contains a proper normal subgroup $N \neq 1$ since a minimal normal subgroup

of G is contained in $Z(G)$ by [12, 12.1.6]. Let $Q = \{1 < L < N : L \triangleleft G\}$. Let Q be partially ordered by saying that if for $L_1, L_2 \in Q$, $L_1 \geq L_2$, then $L_1 \preceq L_2$. Then it is easy to check that (Q, \preceq) is a partially ordered set. Assume if possible that Q has a maximal element L_0 . Then since $L_0 \leq L$ for every $L \in Q$ which is comparable with L_0 , it follows that L is a minimal normal subgroup of G and so $L_0 \leq Z(G)$. But since $L_0 \neq 1$ and $Z(G) = 1$ this is a contradiction. Therefore Q cannot have a maximal element. Therefore there exists a chain

$$L_1 \preceq L_2 \preceq \dots L_\alpha \preceq \dots$$

of elements of Q whose upper bound does not belong to Q by Zorn's Lemma. Since this upper bound is $\bigcap_{\alpha \geq 1} L_\alpha$, it must be equal to the trivial group 1. Now if $w \in \bigcap_{\alpha \geq 1} L_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \geq 1$, then there exists a $v_1 \in V$ and a $\beta \geq 1$ so that $v_1^{-1}w \in L_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \geq \beta$ since V is finite. Then since $v_1^{-1}w = 1$, it follows that $w = v_1$, which is a contradiction since $w \notin V$. Therefore there exists an $\alpha \geq 1$ so that $w \notin L_\alpha$. Clearly, then there exists an $E \in E^*(w, V)$ such that $L_\alpha \leq E$. But since $1 \neq L_\alpha \triangleleft G$ and $W^*(w, V) = 1$, this is a contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so $Z(G) \neq 1$.

(b) Suppose that $N \triangleleft G$. Since N/E^g is (locally) cyclic for every g in G , there is natural homomorphism

$$N \rightarrow \prod_{g \in G} (N/E^g)$$

given by $y \rightarrow (yE^g)_{g \in G}$ with kernel $E^* = \bigcap_{g \in G} E^g$. Hence it follows that N/E^* is abelian. Since $W^*(w, V) = 1$ by hypothesis, $E^* = 1$ and therefore N is abelian.

Now suppose that N is large and satisfies the normalizer condition. Then $N = N_G(EC_G(E))$. Also $N = EC_G(E)$ since N is abelian. But since G satisfies the normalizer condition, this is possible only if $N = G$ and so G is abelian. Then $E = 1$ since $E^* = 1$ and so $N/E = N$ is (locally) cyclic. The last assertion is a trivial consequence of the first one. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let G be a locally finite p -group and let (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G such that $W^*(w, V) = 1$, where $w \in G \setminus 1$. Assume that there exists an $E \in E^*(w, V)$ having a large normalizer. If $EC_G(E)/E$ is infinite, then $N_G(E)$ is self-normalizing. In particular if G satisfies the normalizer condition, then G is locally cyclic and $E = 1$.*

PROOF — Put $N = N_G(E)$. Assume that $EC_G(E)/E$ is infinite,

then $N = EC_G(E)$ since N/E is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.1. Hence

$$N_G(N) = N_G(EC_G(E)) = N_G(E) = N$$

since N is large and so $N = N_G(N)$, which means that N is self-normalizing. Now if G satisfies the normalizer condition, then this is possible only if $E = 1$ and G is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.2 (b). \square

Lemma 2.4 *Let G be a locally finite p -group and (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G , where $w \in G \setminus 1$. Let $E \in E^*(w, V)$ and put $N = N_G(E)$. Suppose that N/E is (locally) cyclic. Let A be a normal abelian subgroup of G with $Z(G) \leq A$. Let $R = N \cap A$ and $D = R \cap E$. Then the following hold.*

- (a) *Let $t \in G$ and $U \leq Z(G)$. If t normalizes UE , then t normalizes $EC_G(E)$.*
- (b) *Suppose that $W^*(w, V) = 1$. Let $L = N_G(EC_G(E))$. Let $a \in A \setminus N$ with $N^a = N$. If $a^p \in R$, then a normalizes $EC_G(E)$ and so $a \in L$. In particular if N is large then $A \cap N_G(N) \leq N$.*

PROOF — If G is abelian, then there is nothing to prove. Therefore in both cases we may suppose that G is not abelian.

(a) Assume that t normalizes UE . Let $C = C_G(E)$. Then $C = C_G(UE)$ since $U \leq Z(G)$. Since t normalizes UE , it must also normalize its centralizer C . Clearly then t normalizes CE since $U \leq C$ and so (a) is verified.

(b) Suppose that $W^*(w, V) = 1$. Then $Z(G) \cap E = 1$ since $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$ but also $Z(G) \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.2 (a). Therefore $\Omega_1(R) \leq \langle z \rangle D$ for some $z \in Z(G)$ with $|z| = p$ since N/E is (locally) cyclic. Assume that $a \in A \setminus N$ with $N^a = N$ and $a^p \in R$. Put $H = \langle a \rangle D$ and $\bar{H} = H/D$. Since N/E is (locally) cyclic, $[R, E] \leq D$ and so $[\bar{R}, \bar{E}] \leq \bar{D} = 1$. Hence

$$1 = [\bar{a}^p, \bar{E}] = [\bar{a}, \bar{E}]^p$$

by [7, Lemma 2.2.2 (i)] since $\bar{a}^p \in \bar{R}$, $[\bar{R}, \bar{E}] = 1$, $a \in A$, $[\bar{a}, \bar{E}] \leq \bar{A}$ and A is abelian. Thus $[\bar{a}, \bar{E}]$ has order $\leq p$ and so is contained in $\langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{D}$ since $[\bar{a}, \bar{E}] \leq N$. Clearly then $[a, E] \leq \langle z \rangle E$ since $D \leq E$ and so a normalizes $\langle z \rangle E$. Then since a normalizes $EC_G(E)$ by (a), it follows that $a \in L$. The last assertion follows from the first one since N is large means $N = L$. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let G be a locally finite p -group, (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G , where $w \in G \setminus 1$. Suppose that $W^*(w, V) = 1$ and let $E \in E^*(w, V)$.*

Let B be a normal abelian-by-elementary abelian subgroup of G and A be a normal abelian subgroup of G contained in B such that B/A is elementary abelian and $Z(G) \leq A$. Put $N = N_G(E)$, $R = N \cap B$, $D = R \cap E$ and suppose that N/E is (locally) cyclic. Furthermore suppose that there exists a $t \in B \setminus N$ with $N^t = N$ and $t^p \in N$. Put $T = \langle t \rangle R$, $H = TN$ and $D^* = \text{Core}_H(D)$. Then the following hold.

(a) R/D is (locally) cyclic and

$$R/D^* \leq Z(N/D^*).$$

Also $Z(G) \neq 1$ and $Z(G) \cap E = 1$. Therefore $\Omega_1(R/D^*) \leq \langle z \rangle D/D^*$, where $\langle z \rangle$ is the unique subgroup of order p in $Z(G)$.

(b) Suppose that N is large. Then

$$C_{T/D^*}(R/D^*) = R/D^* \text{ and so } C_{H/D^*}(R/D^*) = N/D^*.$$

Thus $Z(T/D^*) \leq R/D^*$ and $Z(T/D^*) \cap E/D^* = 1$ and so is (locally) cyclic.

PROOF — Clearly B is not abelian by Lemma 2.4 (b) by the choice of t . Now $T = B \cap H$ and so $T \triangleleft H$. Then also $R \triangleleft H$ since $R = T \cap N$ and $N \triangleleft H$. Also $D \triangleleft N$ since $E \triangleleft N$. Put $\bar{H} = H/D^*$.

(a) Obviously R/D is (locally) cyclic since N/E has this property. $[R, N]$ is normal in H since $R, N \triangleleft H$ and is contained in E since N/E is (locally) cyclic. Clearly then $[R, N] \leq D^*$ and so $[\bar{R}, \bar{N}] = 1$, which implies that $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$.

Next $Z(G) \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.2 (a) and $Z(G) \cap E = 1$ since $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$. Therefore if $z \in Z(G)$ with $|z| = p$, then $\Omega_1(\bar{R}) \leq \langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{D}$ since R/D is (locally) cyclic.

(b) Now suppose that N is large. Assume if possible that $[\bar{t}, \bar{R}] = 1$. Then

$$1 = [\bar{t}^p, \bar{N}] = [\bar{t}, \bar{N}]^p$$

since $t^p \in R$ and $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$. Therefore $[\bar{t}, \bar{N}]$ is a subgroup of order $\leq p$ of \bar{R} . Clearly then $[\bar{t}, \bar{N}] \leq \Omega_1(\bar{R}) \leq \langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{D} \leq \langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{E}$ by (a) and thus t normalizes $\langle z \rangle E$. But then since t normalizes $EC_G(E)$ by Lemma 2.4 (a) and N is large we have $t \in N$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $C_{\bar{T}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$. Since $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$, it follows that $C_{\bar{H}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{N}$. In particular now $Z(\bar{T}) \leq \bar{N}$. Then also $Z(\bar{T}) \cap \bar{D} = 1$ and so $Z(\bar{T})$ is (locally) cyclic since $Z(\bar{T}) \cap \bar{D} \triangleleft \bar{H}$ and so is trivial by definition of D^* . \square

Lemma 2.6 (see [3], Lemma 2.7) *Let G be a locally finite p -group and let (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G such that $W^*(w, V) = 1$, where $w \in G \setminus 1$. Assume that there exists an $E \in E^*(w, V)$ such that $N_G(E)/E$ is (locally) cyclic and $N_G(E)$ is large. Furthermore let B be a normal nilpotent subgroup of G with $c(B) < p$ and A be a normal abelian subgroup of G contained in B with that B/A is elementary abelian and $Z(G) \leq A$. If $B \cap N_G(N_G(E)) \setminus N_G(E) \neq 1$ whenever $B \not\leq N_G(E)$, then B is abelian.*

PROOF — Assume that B is not abelian. Then $B \not\leq N_G(E)$. For if $B \leq N_G(E)$, then $B' \leq E$ since N/E is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.1. But then since $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$, we must have $B' = 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore there exists a $t \in B \setminus N_G(E)$ with $N_G(E)^t = N_G(E)$ and $t^p \in N_G(E)$. As before put

$$N = N_G(E), R = N \cap B, D = R \cap E \text{ and } T = \langle t \rangle R$$

and $H = TN$. Let $D^* = \text{Core}_H(D)$ and put $\bar{H} = H/D^*$. Then $\bar{H} = \langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{N}$. Also $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$ by Lemma 2.5 (a).

Let $y \in N$. Then

$$1 = [\bar{y}, \bar{t}^p] = \prod_{k=1}^p [\bar{y}, \bar{t}]^{\binom{p}{k}}$$

since $\bar{t}^p \in \bar{R}$ and $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$. Also $\langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{R} / \bar{R}$ is elementary abelian, which implies that $\exp([\bar{R}, \bar{t}]) \leq p$ by [3, Lemma 2.6] since $c < p$. Using this in the above equality we get

$$1 = [\bar{y}, \bar{t}]^p [\bar{y}, \bar{t}]$$

Moreover $[\bar{y}, \bar{t}] = 1$ since $c < p$. Using this above we get finally

$$1 = [\bar{y}, \bar{t}]^p$$

Here since y is any element of N , it follows that $\exp([\bar{N}, \bar{t}]) \leq p$ and so $[\bar{N}, \bar{t}] \leq \langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{E}$ by Lemma 2.5 (a). Clearly this implies that $[\bar{E}, \bar{t}] \leq \langle \bar{z} \rangle \bar{E}$ and then t normalizes $\langle z \rangle E$. But then since t normalizes $E C_G(E)$ by Lemma 2.4 (a) and N is large, it follows that $t \in N$, which is a contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so B must be abelian. \square

Lemma 2.7 *Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \neq 2$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G*

for every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) there exists an $E_H \in E^*(w_H, V_H)$ having a large normalizer, where $w_H \in H \setminus 1$. Let B be a normal metabelian subgroup of G and A be a normal abelian subgroup of G contained in B such that B/A is elementary abelian. Then $B' \not\leq Z(B)$.

PROOF — Clearly $B' \neq 1$ since B is metabelian. Assume if possible that $B' \leq Z(B)$. Then $c(B) \leq 2$. Let $1 \neq w \in B'$ and V be a finite subgroup of G with $w \notin V$. Thus (w, V) is a $(*)$ -pair in G and there is an element of $E^*(w, V)$ having a large normalizer by hypothesis. Now if $W^*(w, V) = 1$, then B is abelian by Lemma 2.6 since $c(B) \leq 2 < p$. Therefore we may suppose that $W^*(w, V) \neq 1$.

Let M be a maximal element of $W^*(w, V)$, which exists by [3, Lemma 2.1 (b)] and put $\bar{G} = G/M$. Then (\bar{w}, \bar{V}) is a $(*)$ -pair in \bar{G} since $w \notin M$ and also $W^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V}) = 1$. In addition there is $\bar{E} \in E^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V})$ having a large normalizer by hypothesis. But now since $c(\bar{B}) \leq 2$, \bar{B} must be abelian by the first case and then $\bar{B} \leq \bar{N}$ by Lemma 2.4 (b), where $N = N_G(E)$. Then $B' \leq E$ since N/E is abelian but this is impossible since $w \notin E$ and so the proof is complete. \square

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p > 2$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has an E_H in $E^*(w_H, V_H)$ having a large normalizer, where $w_H \in H \setminus 1$. Let B be a normal metabelian subgroup of G and A be a normal abelian subgroup of G contained in B such that B/A is elementary abelian and $Z(G) \leq A$. Then B/B^p is abelian.*

PROOF — Assume that B/B^p is not abelian. Put $\bar{G} = G/B^p$. Then \bar{B} is nilpotent of class ≥ 2 by [11, Corollary to Theorem 7.18]. Next put $Q = \bar{G}/\gamma_3(\bar{B})$. Then

$$(\bar{B}/\gamma_3(\bar{B}))' \leq Z(\bar{B}/\gamma_3(\bar{B}))$$

and so $c(\bar{B}/\gamma_3(\bar{B})) \leq 2$.

Since Q satisfies the hypothesis of the Lemma 2.7, there is $\bar{w} \in \bar{B}'$ such that $\bar{w}\gamma_3(\bar{B}) \in (\bar{B}/\gamma_3(\bar{B}))' \setminus Z(\bar{B}/\gamma_3(\bar{B}))$. Also there exists a finite subgroup \bar{V} of \bar{G} such that $(\bar{w}\gamma_3(\bar{B}), \bar{V}\gamma_3(\bar{B})/\gamma_3(\bar{B}))$ is a $(*)$ -pair in Q . Now if $W^*(\bar{w}\gamma_3(\bar{B}), \bar{V}\gamma_3(\bar{B})/\gamma_3(\bar{B})) = 1$, then since $Z(G) \neq 1$

by Lemma 2.2 (a), $E^*(\overline{w}\gamma_3(\overline{B}), \overline{V}\gamma_3(\overline{B})/\gamma_3(\overline{B}))$ has an element having a large normalizer by hypothesis. Therefore $\overline{B}/\gamma_3(\overline{B})$ is abelian by Lemma 2.6 and by hypothesis since $p > 2$, so that $\overline{B}' \leq \gamma_3(\overline{B})$. Clearly this is possible only if \overline{B} is abelian since \overline{B} is nilpotent and then $\overline{B}' \leq B^p$, contrary to our assumption. Therefore the assumption is false and so $\overline{B}' \leq B^p$ in this case.

Next suppose that $W^*(\overline{w}\gamma_3(\overline{B}), \overline{V}\gamma_3(\overline{B})/\gamma_3(\overline{B})) \neq 1$ and choose a maximal element $\overline{M}/\gamma_3(\overline{B})$ in $W^*(\overline{w}\gamma_3(\overline{B}), \overline{V}\gamma_3(\overline{B})/\gamma_3(\overline{B}))$. Consider

$$\overline{G}/\gamma_3(\overline{B})/(\overline{M}/\gamma_3(\overline{B})) \simeq \overline{G}/\overline{M}.$$

Now, using this isomorphism, we have $W^*(\overline{w}\overline{M}, \overline{V}\overline{M}/\overline{M}) = 1$. But since $\overline{B}\overline{M}/\overline{M}$ has class ≤ 2 , this group must be abelian by Lemma 2.6, as in the first case, and then $\overline{B}' \leq \overline{M}$ and hence $\overline{w} \in \overline{M}$ since $\overline{w} \in \overline{B}'$. But since $\overline{M} = \text{Core}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{E})$ for some $\overline{E} \in E^*(\overline{w}, \overline{V})$ and $\overline{w} \notin \overline{E}$, this is a contradiction and so the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let B be a metabelian p -group and A a normal abelian subgroup of B such that B/A is elementary abelian and $\exp(B)' \leq p$. Let $t \in B \setminus A$. Then the following hold.*

- (a) *If $|t| = p$, then $[B^p, t] \leq C_B(t)$.*
- (b) *If $|t| > p$, then $[B^p, t, t] \leq C_B(t)$.*

PROOF — (a) Let $y \in B$. Then

$$[y^p, t] \equiv [y, t]^p \pmod{\gamma_2(H)^p \gamma_p(H)}, \tag{1}$$

and this gives

$$[y^p, t] \equiv 1 \pmod{\gamma_p(H)}, \tag{2}$$

since $\exp(B') \leq p$ by hypothesis by [9, VIII.1.1, Lemma (b)], where $H = \langle [y, t], t \rangle$. Moreover, $c(\langle t \rangle A) \leq p$ by [6, Lemma 4.2.1 (ii)] since $|t| = p$, which means that $\gamma_p(\langle t \rangle A) \leq Z(\langle t \rangle A)$. Then in particular $\gamma_p(H) \leq Z(H)$ since $H \leq \langle t \rangle A$. Therefore

$$[y^p, t] \leq C_B(t).$$

Now since

$$[x^p y^p, t] = [x^p, t][y^p, t]$$

for every $x, y \in B$ due to $\exp(B/A) = p$ and A is abelian, it follows that $[x^p y^p, t] \in C_B(t)$ and so (a) follows.

(b) Let $Z = Z(\langle t \rangle A)$. Then $Z \triangleleft B$, since $B' \leq A$ and so $\langle t \rangle A \triangleleft B$. Also $t^p \in Z$. Put $\bar{B} = B/Z$. Now (2) takes the form

$$[\bar{y}^p, \bar{t}] \equiv 1 \pmod{\gamma_p(\bar{H})}. \tag{3}$$

Also $c(\langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{A}) \leq p$ since $\bar{t}^p = 1$ and so $\gamma_p(\langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{A}) \leq Z(\langle \bar{t} \rangle \bar{A})$. Then in particular $\gamma_p(\bar{H}) \leq Z(\bar{H})$. Clearly then $[\bar{y}^p, \bar{t}] \in Z(\bar{H})$ by (3) and so is centralized by \bar{t} ; that is, $[\bar{y}^p, \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1$. Since \bar{y} is any element of \bar{B} , it follows as in the first case that $[\bar{B}^p, \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1$. Taking the inverse images we get $[B^p, t, t] \leq Z \leq C_B(t)$. \square

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p > 2$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has an $E_H \in E^*(w_H, V_H)$ having a large normalizer, where $w_H \in H \setminus 1$. If B is a metabelian normal subgroup of G , then B' cannot be radicable abelian.*

PROOF — Assume that B' is radicable abelian and put $Q = B'$. First assume if possible that $[Q, F] \leq C_Q(F)$ for every finite subgroup F of B . Since $Q = C_Q(F)[F, Q]$ by [11, Lemma 3.29.1], it follows that $Q \leq C_Q(F)$ for every finite subgroup F of B . Clearly then $Q \leq Z(B)$ and so $c(B) \leq 2$. But now since $p \neq 2$ and G satisfies the normalizer condition, B must be abelian by Lemma 2.6, which is a contradiction. Therefore there exists a finite subgroup V of G with $[V, Q] \not\leq C_Q(V)$.

Put $C = C_Q(V)$. Then $Q = C[V, Q]$. Now $[Q, V]C/C$ is radicable abelian since Q is. So if it is finite, then it is trivial and then $[Q, V] \leq C$, which is impossible by the choice of V . Therefore $[Q, V]C/C$ is infinite. Clearly then also $[Q, V]C(V \cap Q)/C(V \cap Q)$ is infinite since V is finite. Therefore there exists a $w \in [Q, V] \setminus C(V \cap Q)$. Then in particular $w \notin VC$. Indeed if $w \in VC$, then $w \in VC \cap Q = C(V \cap Q)$, which is impossible. Thus (w, V) is a $(*)$ -pair in G .

Now, if $W^*(w, V) = 1$, there exists an $E \in E^*(w, V)$ so that $N_G(E)$ is large. Then $Q \leq N_G(E)$ by Lemma 2.4 (b) and then $[Q, V] \leq E$ since N/E is (locally) cyclic. But since $w \in [Q, V] \setminus E$, this is a contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so Q cannot exist.

Next suppose that $W^*(w, V) \neq 1$. Choose a maximal element M in $W^*(w, V)$ and put $\bar{G} = G/M$. Then since $W^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V}) = 1$, there exists an $\bar{R} \in E^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V})$ whose normalizer is large and so $\bar{Q} \leq \bar{N}_G(\bar{R})$ by Lemma 2.4 (b). This means that $Q \leq N_G(R)$ and hence $[Q, w] \leq E$, which gives a contradiction as in the first case and so it follows that Q cannot be radicable abelian. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 — Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \neq 2$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$, where $w_H \in H \setminus 1$, has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Let B be a normal abelian-by-elementary abelian subgroup and A be a normal abelian subgroup of G contained in B with B/A is elementary abelian and $Z(G) \leq A$.

(a) Assume that B is nilpotent but not abelian. Then $[B', B] < B'$ since B is nilpotent. Let $\bar{G} = G/[B', B]$. Then $1 \neq \bar{B}' \leq Z(\bar{B})$ and so $c(\bar{B}) \leq 2$. Choose a $w \in B'$ with $\bar{w} \neq 1$ and let V be a finite subgroup of G with $\bar{w} \notin \bar{V}$. If $W^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V}) = 1$ then \bar{B} is abelian by Lemma 2.6. But then since $1 \neq \bar{w} \in \bar{B}' = 1$, we get a contradiction. Therefore $W^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V}) \neq 1$.

Let M be a maximal element of $W^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V})$ and consider \bar{G}/\bar{M} . Because of isomorphism we may consider $Q = G/M$. Then $(wM, VM/M)$ is a $(*)$ -pair in Q and $W^*(wM, VM/M) = 1$. Since the hypothesis holds in Q , it follows that BM/M is abelian and so $B' \leq M$. But this gives another contradiction since $w \notin M$. Therefore the assumption is false and so B must be abelian. Thus every normal nilpotent abelian-by-elementary subgroup of G is abelian.

Next let K, L be two normal abelian subgroups G . Let $H = KL$. Then H is nilpotent of class $c(H) \leq 2$. Let A be a largest normal abelian subgroup of G contained in H with $K \cap L \leq A$ and let $B/A = \Omega_1(H/A)$. Then B is nilpotent and abelian-by-elementary abelian and so is abelian by the first part of the proof. Clearly then $B = A$ and this means that $B = H$ since H is nilpotent. Therefore G contains a unique maximal normal abelian subgroup.

(b) Let p be a prime ≥ 5 . Assume if possible that B is not abelian. Then $\exp(B/A) = p$. Also B' cannot be radicable abelian by Lemma 3.3. Therefore $(B')^p < B'$. Let $\bar{G} = G/(B')^p$. Then $\exp(\bar{B}') = p$ and so \bar{B} is not abelian. Also \bar{G} satisfies the hypothesis of G . Therefore without loss of generality we may replace \bar{G} with G and suppose that $\exp(B') = p$.

By Lemma 2.7 there exists a $w \in B' \setminus Z(B)$. Let V be a finite subgroup with $w \notin V$. Therefore (w, V) is a $(*)$ -pair in G . Suppose first that $W^*(w, V) = 1$. Let $E \in E^*(w, V)$ have a large normalizer. Put $N = N_G(E)$, $R = N \cap B$, $D = R \cap E$. Then N/E is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.1. Moreover, $A \leq R$ by Lemma 2.4 (b) and $Z(G) \cap E = 1$ since $\text{Core}_G(E) = 1$. Since B is not abelian, there is $t \in B \cap N_G(N) \setminus N$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.6). As before put $T = \langle t \rangle R$ and $H = TN$.

Also define $D^* = \text{Core}_H(D)$ and put $\bar{H} = H/D^*$. Then $\bar{R} \leq Z(\bar{N})$ and \bar{R}/\bar{D} is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.5 (a).

First suppose that $|\bar{t}| = p$. Then $[\bar{B}^p, \bar{t}] \leq C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{t})$ by Lemma 3.2 (a). Then also $[\bar{B}', \bar{t}] \leq C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{t})$ since $B' \leq B^p$ by Lemma 3.1 and then

$$[\bar{B}', \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1.$$

Then in particular $[\bar{T}', \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1$ since $T \leq B$ and so $\gamma_4(\bar{T}) = 1$. Now since $c(\bar{T}) \leq 3 < 5$, it follows that \bar{T} is abelian by Lemma 2.6 and so $\bar{t} \in C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R})$. But since $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$ by Lemma 2.5 (b), it follows that $\bar{t} \in \bar{R}$ which is a contradiction.

Next suppose that $|\bar{t}| > p$. Then $[\bar{B}^p, \bar{t}, \bar{t}] \leq C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{t})$ by Lemma 3.2 (b). Hence $[\bar{B}^p, \bar{t}, \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1$ and hence also $[\bar{B}', \bar{t}, \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1$ by Lemma 3.1. Then since $\bar{T}' \leq \bar{B}'$, it follows that $[\bar{T}', \bar{t}, \bar{t}, \bar{t}] = 1$. Obviously the last equality implies that $c(\bar{T}) < 5$, and so applying Lemma 2.6 one more time it follows that \bar{T} is abelian and so $\bar{t} \in C_{\bar{T}}(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$ and this gives another contradiction since $\bar{t} \notin \bar{N}$. Therefore the assumption is false and so B must be abelian.

Next assume that $W^*(w, V) \neq 1$. In this case choose a maximal element M of $W^*(w, V)$ and define $\bar{G} = G/M$. Then $(\bar{w}M, \bar{V}M/M)$ is a $(*)$ -pair in \bar{G} since $w \notin M$ and $W^*(\bar{w}M, \bar{V}M/M) = 1$. Therefore $E^*(\bar{w}M, \bar{V}M/M)$ contains an element having a large normalizer by hypothesis. Also $\exp(\bar{B}') = p$. Clearly then we get another contradiction as in the first case. Thus, the assumption is false and so B must be abelian. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 — Let G be a solvable p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \geq 5$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) satisfying $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Assume that G is not abelian. By Lemma 2.7 there exists a $w \in G' \setminus Z(G)$. Let V be a finite subgroup of G such that $w \notin V$ and consider the $(*)$ -pair (w, V) . First suppose that $W^*(w, V) = 1$. Then there exists $E \in E^*(w, V)$ whose normalizer is large. Put $N = N_G(E)$. Then $N = N_G(\text{EC}_G(E))$ by hypothesis.

Now suppose that G' is abelian. Assume first that $W^*(w, V) = 1$. Then $G' \leq N$ by Lemma 2.4 (b) since $p \neq 2$ and then $N \triangleleft G$. But then since G is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.2 (b), we get a contradiction. Therefore $W^*(w, V) \neq 1$.

Choose a maximal element $M \in W^*(w, V)$, which exists by [3, Lem-

ma 2.1 (b)], and consider $\bar{G} = G/M$. Then (\bar{w}, \bar{V}) is a $(*)$ -pair in \bar{G} since $M = \text{Core}_G(R)$ for some $R \in E^*(w, V)$ and $w \notin R$. Moreover $W^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V}) = 1$, since

$$E^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V}) = \{\bar{T} : T \in E^*(w, V) \text{ and } M \leq T\}$$

(see [1, Lemma 4.2]). In addition there exists an $\bar{S} \in E^*(\bar{w}, \bar{V})$ whose normalizer is large. Therefore applying Lemma 2.4 (b) again gives $\bar{G}' \leq N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S})$ and so $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}) \triangleleft \bar{G}$. Clearly then \bar{G} is locally cyclic as above and this implies that $\bar{G}' \leq \bar{S}$. But since $\text{Core}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{S}) = 1$, it follows that $\bar{G}' = 1$ and then $G' \leq M$. But since $w \notin S$, this gives another contradiction. Therefore we may suppose that G' is not abelian.

Put $\bar{G} = G/G''$. Then \bar{G}' is non-trivial and abelian. Also \bar{G} satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Therefore \bar{G} is abelian as in the first case. Thus $\bar{G}' = 1$ and this implies that $G' \leq G''$, which is a contradiction since G' is not abelian. Therefore the assumption that G is not abelian is false and so G must be abelian, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 — Let G be an hyperabelian p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \geq 5$. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) with $W^*(w, V) = 1$ has a locally maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large. Assume that G is not abelian. Then also G can not be solvable by Theorem 1.2. Let A be the unique maximal normal abelian subgroup of G which exists by Theorem 1.1 (a). Then $1 \neq A \neq G$ since G is not abelian. In the same way G/A contains a unique maximal normal abelian subgroup, say, U/A such that $1 \neq U/A \neq G/A$ since G is hyperabelian but not solvable. Let $B/A = \Omega_1(U/A)$. Then $B \triangleleft G$ and is not abelian by definition of A and $\exp(B/A) = p$. But since every abelian-by-elementary abelian normal subgroup of G must be abelian by Theorem 1.1 (b) this is a contradiction. Therefore the assumption is false and so G is abelian, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section we give a complete characterization of [3, Theorem 1.1]. But first a lemma is needed.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G be a locally finite p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \neq 2$ and let (w, V) be a $(*)$ -pair in G , where $w \in G \setminus 1$. Let $E \in E^*(w, V)$ satisfy $(**)$ and let $N = N_G(E)$. Then N is large.*

PROOF — By hypothesis $N = N_G(E')$. We claim that $N = N_G(EC_G(E))$. Put $C = C_G(E)$. Then $C \leq N$. Since N/E is (locally) cyclic by Lemma 2.1 and since $C \cap E \leq Z(C)$, it follows that $C/Z(C)$ is (locally) cyclic. Let $F/Z(C)$ be a finite subgroup of $C/Z(C)$. Since $F/Z(C)$ is cyclic, $F = \langle f, Z(C) \rangle$ for some $f \in F$ and so F is abelian. Since F is any subgroup of C with $|FZ(C) : Z(C)|$ is finite, it follows that C is abelian. Now since

$$N_G(CE) \leq N_G((CE)') = N_G(E') = N,$$

it follows that $N_G(CE) \leq N$. But also $N \leq N_G(CE)$ since $N = N_G(E)$. Therefore we get the equality $N_G(CE) = N$ and so it follows that N is large. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5 — Let G be a Fitting p -group satisfying the normalizer condition, where $p \neq 2$. Then G is generated by normal nilpotent subgroups. Suppose that in every homomorphic image H of G every $(*)$ -pair (w_H, V_H) has a (w_H, V_H) -maximal subgroup satisfying $(**)$. Clearly, then in every homomorphic image of G every $(*)$ -pair has a locally maximal subgroup whose normalizer is large by Lemma 4.1.

Now assume if possible that G is not abelian. Then we can choose a finite non-abelian subgroup F of G . Put $M = \langle F^G \rangle$. Then M is nilpotent since G is a Fitting group. Also $\exp(M) < \infty$ by [11, Corollary to Theorem 2.26]. Next let A be a maximal normal abelian subgroup of G contained in M such that $Z(M) \leq A$ and let $B/A = \Omega_1(Z(M/A))$. Then B is not abelian by the maximality of A since B is also normal in G . Also $\exp(B) < \infty$. But now since G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, B must be abelian, which is a contradiction. Therefore F must be abelian. Since F is any finite subgroup of G , it follows that G is abelian and so the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

5 Acknowledgments

The author is very grateful to the referee for a detailed evaluation of the first version of this work which led to a significant change and improvement in the final version.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.O. ASAR: "On infinitely generated groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *J. Algebra* 399 (2014), 870–886.
- [2] A.O. ASAR: "On Fitting groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *Int. J. Group Theory* 5, No. 2 (2016), 7–24.
- [3] A.O. ASAR: "Characterization of Fitting p-groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *Adv. Group Theory Appl.* 3 (2017), 31–53
- [4] A.O. ASAR: "Corrigendum II to: Characterization of Fitting p-groups whose proper subgroups are solvable", *Adv. Group Theory Appl.* 6 (2018), 111–126.
- [5] A.O. ASAR: "On minimal non-(residually nilpotent) locally graded groups", *Mediterr. J. Math.* 18, No. 2 (2021), Paper No. 54, 18pp.
- [6] J. BUCKLEY – J. WIEGOLD: "Nilpotent extensions of abelian p-groups", *Canad. J. Math.* 38 (1986), 1025–1052.
- [7] D. GORENSTEIN: "Finite Groups", *Harper and Row*, New York (1968).
- [8] B. HUPPERT: "Endliche Gruppen I", *Springer*, Berlin (1979).
- [9] B. HUPPERT – N. BLACKBURN: "Finite Groups II", *Springer*, Berlin (1982).
- [10] O.H. KEGEL – B.A.F. WEHRFRITZ: "Locally Finite Groups", *North-Holland*, Amsterdam (1973).
- [11] D.J.S. ROBINSON: "Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups", *Springer*, New York (1972).
- [12] D.J.S. ROBINSON: "A Course in the Theory of Groups", *Springer*, New York (1980).

A.O. Asar
Yargic Sokak 11/6
Cebeci, Ankara (Turkey)
e-mail: aliasar@gazi.edu.tr