Generation of the second maximal subgroups of the symmetric groups

Patricia Medina Capilla

University of Warwick

June 8, 2023

Patricia Medina Capilla (University of WarwicGeneration of the second maximal subgroups

June 8, 2023 1 / 17

Theorem (Aschbacher, Gurlanick, Miller, Steinberg) Let T be a finite, simple group. Then $d(T) \le 2.$ Theorem (Aschbacher, Gurlanick, Miller, Steinberg)

Let T be a finite, simple group. Then

 $d(T) \leq 2.$

We can now proceed by investigating

I how strongly the finite simple groups are 2-generated,

Theorem (Aschbacher, Gurlanick, Miller, Steinberg)

Let T be a finite, simple group. Then

 $d(T) \leq 2.$

We can now proceed by investigating

- how strongly the finite simple groups are 2-generated,
- the generation of related groups.

Theorem (Aschbacher, Gurlanick, Miller, Steinberg)

Let T be a finite, simple group. Then

 $d(T) \leq 2.$

We can now proceed by investigating

- I how strongly the finite simple groups are 2-generated,
- the generation of related groups.



Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2013)

If R is an almost simple group, and $M <_{max} R$ then

 $d(M) \leq 6.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

590

Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2013)

If R is an almost simple group, and $M <_{max} R$ then

 $d(M) \leq 6.$

Theorem (Lucchini, Marion, Tracey, 2019)

If R is an almost simple group, and $M <_{max} R$ then

 $d(M) \leq 5$,

which is sharp.

Patricia Medina Capilla (University of WarwicGeneration of the second maximal subgroups

▶ ◀ ≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ � < June 8, 2023 3 / 17

Second maximal subgroups

Let *R* be an almost simple group, and $M <_{\max} R$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Let R be an almost simple group, and $M <_{\max} R$. Let $K <_{\max} M$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Second maximal subgroups

Let R be an almost simple group, and $M <_{\max} R$. Let $K <_{\max} M$.

Suppose

$$2^{r} - 1$$

is a Mersenne prime.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

Let R be an almost simple group, and $M <_{\max} R$. Let $K <_{\max} M$.

Suppose

$$2^{r} - 1$$

is a Mersenne prime. Then there exists a second maximal subgroup \boldsymbol{K} such that

 $d(K) \geq r.$

< ロ ト < 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Let R be an almost simple group, and $M <_{\max} R$. Let $K <_{\max} M$.

Suppose

$$2^{r} - 1$$

is a Mersenne prime. Then there exists a second maximal subgroup \boldsymbol{K} such that

 $d(K) \geq r.$

All such K have

$$soc(R) = L_2(q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2G_2(q)$$

and M a Borel subgroup.

Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2016)

Let $K <_{max} M <_{max} R$ where R is an almost simple group. Then either

• $\operatorname{soc}(R) = L_2(q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2G_2(q), \text{ and } M \text{ is a Borel subgroup, or}$

Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2016)

Let $K <_{max} M <_{max} R$ where R is an almost simple group. Then either

- $soc(R) = L_2(q)$, ${}^2B_2(q)$, ${}^2G_2(q)$, and M is a Borel subgroup, or
- **2** $d(K) \leq 70.$

Lemma

Let G be a finite group, and $N \lhd G$, then

 $d(G) \leq d(G/N) + d(N).$

イロト イロト イヨト イ

Lemma

Let G be a finite group, and $N \lhd G$, then

 $d(G) \leq d(G/N) + d(N).$

For example, by induction:

 $d(A_k^t) \leq 2t \qquad \forall k \geq 5.$

Patricia Medina Capilla (University of WarwicGeneration of the second maximal subgroups



Crowns method

The crowns method requires a lot more information.

590

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Methods

Crowns method

The crowns method requires a lot more information.

At the very least, it requires us to know the chief series of a group. This is sufficient to know that

$$d(S_k \times S_t) \leq 2 \qquad \forall k, t \geq 5.$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Methods

Crowns method

The crowns method requires a lot more information.

At the very least, it requires us to know the chief series of a group. This is sufficient to know that

$$d(S_k \times S_t) \leq 2 \qquad \forall k, t \geq 5.$$

At worst, it requires us to know a lot about the factors in the chief series. This is needed in order to prove that

 $d(\operatorname{Out}(T)) \leq 3$

for any simple group T.

Methods

Crowns method

The crowns method requires a lot more information.

At the very least, it requires us to know the chief series of a group. This is sufficient to know that

$$d(S_k \times S_t) \leq 2 \qquad \forall k, t \geq 5.$$

At worst, it requires us to know a lot about the factors in the chief series. This is needed in order to prove that

$$d(\operatorname{Out}(T)) \leq 3$$

for any simple group T.

However, in return it provides much better bounds. For example:

$$d(A_k^t) \leq egin{cases} 2 & ext{if } t \leq 17 \ 3 & ext{if } t \leq 1060 \ dots \ \forall k \geq 5. \ dots \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2016)

Let $K <_{max} M <_{max} R$ where R is an almost simple group. Then

- $\operatorname{soc}(R) = L_2(q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2G_2(q), \text{ and } M \text{ is a Borel subgroup, or}$
- **2** $d(K) \leq 70.$

Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2016)

Let $K <_{\max} M <_{\max} R$ where R is an almost simple group. Then

• $soc(R) = L_2(q)$, ${}^2B_2(q)$, ${}^2G_2(q)$, and M is a Borel subgroup, or

2 $d(K) \leq 70.$

Theorem (Burness, Liebeck, Shalev, 2016)

Let K be a second maximal subgroup of R, with R almost simple and $soc(R) = A_n$. Then

 $d(K) \leq 10.$

Second maximal subgroups of S_n

For simplicity, let $R = S_n$.

990

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

For simplicity, let $R = S_n$.

Theorem (O'Nan-Scott)

The maximal subgroups of R fall into the following families:

- (1) intransitive, $S_k \times S_{n-k}$,
- (II) affine, $AGL_d(p)$,
- (III) wreath product, $S_k \wr S_t$,
- (IV) diagonal type, T^k . ($Out(T) \times S_k$),
- (V) almost simple.

Classifying second maximal subgroups Family (I): Intransitive

The maximal subgroups of $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ are

- $J \times S_{n-k}$ for $J <_{\max} S_k$,
- $S_k imes J$ for $J <_{\max} S_{n-k}$, or
- $(A_k \times A_{n-k}).2.$

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

Classifying second maximal subgroups Family (II): Affine

The maximal subgroups of $AGL_d(p) = \mathbb{F}_p^d \rtimes GL(\mathbb{F}_p^d)$ are

•
$$\mathbb{F}_p^d \rtimes J$$
 for $J <_{\mathsf{max}} \mathsf{GL}(\mathbb{F}_p^d)$, or

• Isomorphic to $GL(\mathbb{F}_p^d)$.

Classifying second maximal subgroups Family (III): Wreath product

The maximal subgroups of $S_k \wr S_t$ are

- $S_k \wr J$ for $J <_{\max} S_t$
- $J \wr S_t$ for $J <_{\max} S_k$, $J \neq A_k$, or
- in one of three other families, all of which contain A_k^t .

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The maximal subgroups of T^k . (Out(T) × S_k) are

•
$$T^k.J$$
 for $J <_{\max} \operatorname{Out}(T) \times S_k$, or

•
$$(J \cap T)^k$$
. $(\operatorname{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ for $J <_{\max} \operatorname{Aut}(T)$.

The maximal subgroups of the almost simple groups have been treated by Lucchini, Marion and Tracey, so

 $d(K) \leq 5$

in this case.

Theorem (M.C.)

Let K be a second maximal subgroup of S_n or A_n . Then

 $d(K) \leq 8.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

- 2

15 / 17

Theorem (M.C.)

Let K be a second maximal subgroup of S_n or A_n . Then

 $d(K) \leq 8.$

Example

Let $T := \mathsf{PSL}_4(9)$. Let $M := T^k \cdot (\mathsf{Out}(T) \times S_k) <_{\max} S_n$, with k := |T|.

Patricia Medina Capilla (University of WarwicGeneration of the second maximal subgroups

Theorem (M.C.)

Let K be a second maximal subgroup of S_n or A_n . Then

 $d(K) \leq 8.$

Example

Let $T := \text{PSL}_4(9)$. Let $M := T^k . (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k) <_{\max} S_n$, with k := |T|. Let $J := T^2 . (\text{Out}(T) \times S_2) <_{\max} S_k$.

Theorem (M.C.)

Let K be a second maximal subgroup of S_n or A_n . Then

 $d(K) \leq 8.$

Example

Let $T := \mathsf{PSL}_4(9)$. Let $M := T^k \cdot (\mathsf{Out}(T) \times S_k) <_{\mathsf{max}} S_n$, with k := |T|. Let $J := T^2 \cdot (\mathsf{Out}(T) \times S_2) <_{\mathsf{max}} S_k$. Hence,

 $\operatorname{Out}(T) \times J <_{\max} \operatorname{Out}(T) \times S_k.$

Patricia Medina Capilla (University of WarwicGeneration of the second maximal subgroups

Theorem (M.C.)

Let K be a second maximal subgroup of S_n or A_n . Then

 $d(K) \leq 8.$

Example

Let $T := \text{PSL}_4(9)$. Let $M := T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k) <_{\max} S_n$, with k := |T|. Let $J := T^2 \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_2) <_{\max} S_k$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{Out}(T) \times J <_{\max} \operatorname{Out}(T) \times S_k.$$

Then

$$T^k.(\operatorname{Out}(T) imes J) <_{\max} M <_{\max} S_n,$$

and

$$d(T^k.(\operatorname{Out}(T)\times J))=7.$$

Patricia Medina Capilla (University of WarwicGeneration of the second maximal subgroups

In all but one of the groups classified previously, we can show

 $d(K) \leq 7.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

16 / 17

In all but one of the groups classified previously, we can show

 $d(K) \leq 7.$

The only K which can have d(K) = 8 is

 $K := (J \cap T)^k . (\operatorname{Out}(T) \times S_k) \qquad J <_{\max} \operatorname{Aut}(T)$

In all but one of the groups classified previously, we can show

 $d(K) \leq 7.$

The only K which can have d(K) = 8 is

$${\mathcal K}:=(J\cap {\mathcal T})^k.\,(\operatorname{Out}({\mathcal T}) imes S_k)\qquad J<_{\sf max}\operatorname{Aut}({\mathcal T})$$

for T one of

$$\mathsf{PSL}_n(q), P\Omega^{\pm}_{2m}(q), E_6(q).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで

Thanks for listening!

990

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣