# SUBNORMALITY IN LINEAR GROUPS



#### Marco Trombetti Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II



Advances in Group Theory and Applications **2023** 

June 5-9, Lecce 7 June

#### F. de Giovanni • B.A.F. Wehrfritz • M.T.

*Subnormality in linear groups* J. Pure Appl. Algebra (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 107185.

*Permutable subgroups in linear groups* to appear

F. de Giovanni • B.A.F. Wehrfritz • M.T.

*Subnormality in linear groups* J. Pure Appl. Algebra (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 107185.

*Permutable subgroups in linear groups* to appear

*Groups whose proper subgroups are linear* J. Algebra **592** (2022), 153–168.

*The upper and lower central series in linear groups* Q.J. Math. **73** (2022), 261–275.

# Motivation and History

# Normality is not a transitive relation

**Normality** is **not** a **transitive relation** 

A **subgroup** X of a **group** G is **subnormal** in G if there is a finite **chain** of subgroups

$$X = X_0 \trianglelefteq X_1 \trianglelefteq \ldots \trianglelefteq X_n = G$$

connecting X to G.

Normality is not a transitive relation

A **subgroup** X of a (**finite**) **group** G is **subnormal** in G if there is a finite **chain** of subgroups

$$X = X_0 \trianglelefteq X_1 \trianglelefteq \ldots \trianglelefteq X_n = G$$

connecting X to G.

"**Subnormal subgroups** are the *bare bones* or *skeleton* of a group, providing the framework for all other structures"

#### Philip Hall

#### Helmut Wielandt (1939)

*Eine Verallgemeinerung der invarianten Untergruppen* Math. Z. **45** (1939), no. 1, 209–244.

# Helmut Wielandt (1939)

*Eine Verallgemeinerung der invarianten Untergruppen* Math. Z. **45** (1939), no. 1, 209–244.

#### Join Theorem

The subgroup generated by two subnormal subgroups of a **finite** group is itself subnormal.

#### Helmut Wielandt (1939)

*Eine Verallgemeinerung der invarianten Untergruppen* Math. Z. **45** (1939), no. 1, 209–244.

#### Join Theorem

The subgroup generated by two subnormal subgroups of a **finite** group is itself subnormal.

#### Hans Zassenahus (1958)

There exists a group having two subnormal subgroups whose join is not subnormal.

# Let G be a **group** and let $X \leq G$ .

• X is **ascendant** if there is an ascending series of subgroups

$$X = X_0 \trianglelefteq X_1 \trianglelefteq \ldots X_{\alpha} \trianglelefteq X_{\alpha+1} \trianglelefteq \ldots X_{\lambda} = G$$

• X is **descendant** if there is a descending series of subgroups

$$X = X_{\lambda} \ldots \trianglelefteq X_{\alpha+1} \trianglelefteq X_{\alpha} \ldots \trianglelefteq X_1 \trianglelefteq X_0 = G$$

• X is **serial** if there is a chain of subgroups between X and G such that if H and K are consecutive subgroups, then H ≤ K.

Let G be a **group**. A subgroup X of G is said to be **serial** in G if there is a set

 $\big\{(\Lambda_\sigma,V_\sigma)\,:\,\sigma\in\Sigma\big\}$ 

of subgroups of G such that

(i)  $\Sigma$  is a totally ordered indexing set;

(ii) 
$$H \leq V_{\sigma} \trianglelefteq \Lambda_{\sigma}, \forall \sigma \in \Sigma$$
;

(iii) 
$$\Lambda_{\sigma} \leq V_{\tau}$$
 if  $\sigma < \tau$ ;

(iv) 
$$G \setminus H = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (\Lambda_{\sigma} \setminus V_{\sigma}).$$

A subgroup X is **ascendant** (resp. **descendant**) if it is serial and  $\Sigma$  is well-ordered (resp. inversely well-ordered).

• John Wilson proved that the converse does not hold.

• John Wilson proved that the converse does not hold.

Every subgroup of a hypercentral group is ascendant.

• John Wilson proved that the converse does not hold.

# Every subgroup of a hypercentral group is ascendant.

• Heineken & Mohamed proved that the converse does not hold.

• John Wilson proved that the converse does not hold.

Every subgroup of a hypercentral group is ascendant.

• Heineken & Mohamed proved that the converse does not hold.

*Every* finite *or* nilpotent *subgroup of a* **hypocentral** *group is* **descendant**.

• John Wilson proved that the converse does not hold.

*Every subgroup of a* **hypercentral** *group is* **ascendant**.

• Heineken & Mohamed proved that the converse does not hold.

*Every* finite *or* nilpotent *subgroup of a* **hypocentral** *group is* **descendant**.

• In particular, every **cyclic** subgroup of a hypocentral group is **descendant**.

• John Wilson proved that the converse does not hold.

*Every subgroup of a* **hypercentral** *group is* **ascendant**.

• Heineken & Mohamed proved that the converse does not hold.

*Every* finite *or* nilpotent *subgroup of a* **hypocentral** *group is* **descendant**.

- In particular, every **cyclic** subgroup of a hypocentral group is **descendant**.
- Note that every **free group** is **hypocentral**.

# **Hartley** (1972) *A join of serial subgroups in a locally finite group is serial.*

# **Hartley** (1972)

A join of serial subgroups in a locally finite group is serial.

• although the join of two serial subgroups can fail to be serial.

#### Hartley (1972)

# A join of serial subgroups in a locally finite group is serial.

• although the join of two serial subgroups can fail to be serial.

# Hickin & Phillips (1973)

A join of arbitrarily many subnormal subgroups is serial.

# What about linear groups?

• Let F be the free group of **countable** rank.

- Let F be the free group of **countable** rank.
- ↓ There is N ⊲ F such that F/N is isomorphic to the Zassenhaus group

- Let F be the free group of **countable** rank.
- ↓ There is N ⊲ F such that F/N is isomorphic to the **Zassenhaus group**
- ↓ Let H/N and K/N be **subnormal subgroups** of F/N whose join is **not** subnormal

- Let F be the free group of **countable** rank.
- ↓ There is N ⊲ F such that F/N is isomorphic to the Zassenhaus group
- ↓ Let H/N and K/N be **subnormal subgroups** of F/N whose join is **not** subnormal
- Then H and K are subnormal subgroups of F whose join is not subnormal

• Let E be a finitely generated subgroup of G

- Let E be a finitely generated subgroup of G
- ↓ If N is normal in E and has finite index in E, then all subgroups of E/N are **subnormal**

- Let E be a finitely generated subgroup of G
- ↓ If N is normal in E and has finite index in E, then all subgroups of E/N are **subnormal**
- $\downarrow$  Then E/N nilpotent, and hence E is **nilpotent**

- Let E be a finitely generated subgroup of G
- ↓ If N is normal in E and has finite index in E, then all subgroups of E/N are **subnormal**
- $\downarrow$  Then E/N nilpotent, and hence E is **nilpotent**
- □ Thus, G is **hypercentral**.

Let G be a linear group all of whose subgroups are descendant. Then G is nilpotent.

Let G be a linear group all of whose subgroups are descendant. Then G is nilpotent.

• G is hypercentral

*Let* G *be a* **linear group** *all of whose finitely generated subgroups are* **serial***. Then* G *is* **hypercentral***.* 

Let G be a linear group all of whose subgroups are **descendant**. Then G is **nilpotent**.

- G is hypercentral
- $\downarrow$  There is a positive integer k such that  $G/Z_k(G)$  is periodic

*Let* G *be a* **linear group** *all of whose finitely generated subgroups are* **serial***. Then* G *is* **hypercentral***.* 

Let G be a linear group all of whose subgroups are descendant. Then G is nilpotent.

- G is hypercentral
- $\downarrow$  There is a positive integer k such that  $G/Z_k(G)$  is **periodic**
- ↓ A periodic linear group whose cyclic subgroups are descendant must be nilpotent

*Let* G *be a* **linear group** *all of whose finitely generated subgroups are* **serial***. Then* G *is* **hypercentral***.* 

Let G be a linear group all of whose subgroups are descendant. Then G is nilpotent.

- G is hypercentral
- $\downarrow$  There is a positive integer k such that  $G/Z_k(G)$  is periodic
- ↓ A periodic linear group whose cyclic subgroups are descendant must be nilpotent
- $\square$  Thus,  $G/Z_k(G)$  is nilpotent and so G is **nilpotent**.

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X descendant  $\implies$  X subnormal

### Let G be a **periodic linear group** and $X \leq G$ X descendant $\implies$ X subnormal

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X serial  $\implies X$  ascendant

```
Let G be a periodic linear group and X \leq G
X descendant \implies X subnormal
```

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X serial  $\implies X$  ascendant

• We can bound the **ascendancy length** in terms of the degree of G as a linear group

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X descendant  $\implies$  X subnormal

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X serial  $\implies X$  ascendant

- We can bound the **ascendancy length** in terms of the degree of G as a linear group
- Proof splits in several cases

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X descendant  $\implies$  X subnormal

Let G be a **periodic linear group** and  $X \leq G$ X serial  $\implies X$  ascendant

- We can bound the **ascendancy length** in terms of the degree of G as a linear group
- Proof splits in several cases and is accomplished in a series of lemmas such as: *If* N *is unipotent and normal, then* X *is subnormal in* XN

Let G be a **periodic linear group** of **degree** 2 over a field of **characteristic** 2. Then every serial subgroup is subnormal of defect at most  $2 + \left[\log_2(\theta(2))\right] + \left[\log_2(\mu(2))\right]$ .

Let G be a **periodic linear group** of **degree** 2 over a field of **characteristic** 2. Then every serial subgroup is subnormal of defect at most  $2 + \left[\log_2(\theta(2))\right] + \left[\log_2(\mu(2))\right]$ .

• If either the characteristic or the degree are > 2, then this is not true.

• The proof is a combination of the previous facts.

- The proof is a combination of the previous facts.
- The set of all ascendant subgroups of G is a complete lattice.

- The proof is a combination of the previous facts.
- The set of all ascendant subgroups of G is a complete lattice.

Let G be a **periodic linear group**. Then the join of arbitrarily many **subnormal** subgroups of G is **subnormal**.

- The proof is a combination of the previous facts.
- The set of all ascendant subgroups of G is a complete lattice.

Let G be a **periodic linear group**. Then the join of arbitrarily many **subnormal** subgroups of G is **subnormal**.

• The set of all subnormal subgroups of G is a complete lattice.

Let G be a **periodic linear group**. Then the join of arbitrarily many **subnormal** subgroups of G is **subnormal**.

Let G be a **soluble-by-periodic linear group**. Then the join of finitely many **subnormal** subgroups of G is **subnormal**.

 $X=X_0\leqslant X_1\leqslant\ldots\leqslant X_n=G$ 

such that  $X_i \trianglelefteq X_{i+1}$  or  $|X_{i+1} : X_i| < \infty$  for every  $0 \le i < n$ .

$$X = X_0 \leqslant X_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant X_n = G$$

such that  $X_i \trianglelefteq X_{i+1}$  or  $|X_{i+1} : X_i| < \infty$  for every  $0 \le i < n$ .

• Every subgroup of a **finite group** is f-subnormal.

$$X = X_0 \leqslant X_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant X_n = G$$

such that  $X_i \trianglelefteq X_{i+1}$  or  $|X_{i+1} : X_i| < \infty$  for every  $0 \le i < n$ .

- Every subgroup of a **finite group** is f-subnormal.
- Every subnormal subgroup is f-subnormal.

ther kinds of generalizations

A subgroup X of a group G is **f-subnormal** if there is a finite chain of subgroups

 $X=X_0\leqslant X_1\leqslant\ldots\leqslant X_n=G$ 

such that  $X_i \trianglelefteq X_{i+1}$  or  $|X_{i+1} : X_i| < \infty$  for every  $0 \le i < n$ .

Let G be a group and let X be a subgroup of G. Then X is **subnormal** if and only if it is **ascendant** and **f-subnormal**.

 $X=X_0\leqslant X_1\leqslant\ldots\leqslant X_n=G$ 

such that  $X_i \trianglelefteq X_{i+1}$  or  $|X_{i+1} : X_i| < \infty$  for every  $0 \le i < n$ .

Let G be a group and let X be a subgroup of G. Then X is **subnormal** if and only if it is **ascendant** and **f-subnormal**.

The join of two **f-subnormal** subgroups may **fail** to be f-subnormal even in periodic linear groups.

Let  $\sigma$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{P}$ . A subgroup X of a group G is  $\sigma$ -subnormal if there is a finite chain of subgroups

$$X = X_0 \leqslant X_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant X_n = G$$

such that  $X_{i+1}/(X_i)_{X_{i+1}}$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  and for every  $0 \leq i < n$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{P}$ . A subgroup X of a group G is  $\sigma$ -subnormal if there is a finite chain of subgroups

$$X = X_0 \leqslant X_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant X_n = G$$

such that  $X_{i+1}/(X_i)_{X_{i+1}}$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  and for every  $0 \leq i < n$ .

The join of arbitrarily many  $\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in periodic linear groups. Let  $\sigma$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{P}$ . A subgroup X of a group G is  $\sigma$ -subnormal if there is a finite chain of subgroups

$$X = X_0 \leqslant X_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant X_n = G$$

such that  $X_{i+1}/(X_i)_{X_{i+1}}$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i \in \sigma$  and for every  $0 \leq i < n$ .

The join of arbitrarily many  $\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in periodic linear groups.

• σ-subnormality coincides with σ-seriality in periodic linear groups.

The join of arbitrarily many  $\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups is  $\sigma$ -subnormal in periodic linear groups.

• σ-subnormality coincides with σ-seriality in periodic linear groups.

#### M. Ferrara • M.T.

σ-*Subnormality in locally finite groups* J. Algebra 614 (2023), 867–897.

## Every **permutable** subgroup of a group is **ascendant**.

### Every **permutable** subgroup of a group is **ascendant**.

Let G be a **periodic linear** group. Then every **permutable** subgroup of G is **subnormal** 

Every **permutable** subgroup of a group is **ascendant**.

Let G be a **soluble-by-periodic linear** group over the field F. If either char(F)  $\neq 0$  or u(G) = {1}, then every **permutable** subgroup of G is **subnormal** 



... Thank You ...