

What is the probability that two elements commute?

Juan Martínez

Advances in Group Theory and Applications 2023

Joint work with A. Maróti and N. N. Hung

We establish some notation for the remaining of the talk. It is important to remark that **all groups considered here are finite**.

We establish some notation for the remaining of the talk. It is important to remark that **all groups considered here are finite**.

Notations

Let G be a group π be a set of primes. Then

We establish some notation for the remaining of the talk. It is important to remark that **all groups considered here are finite**.

Notations

Let G be a group π be a set of primes. Then

- G_π denotes the set of π -elements of G .

We establish some notation for the remaining of the talk. It is important to remark that **all groups considered here are finite**.

Notations

Let G be a group π be a set of primes. Then

- G_π denotes the set of π -elements of G .
- $|G|_\pi$ denotes the π -part of $|G|$.

We establish some notation for the remaining of the talk. It is important to remark that **all groups considered here are finite**.

Notations

Let G be a group π be a set of primes. Then

- G_π denotes the set of π -elements of G .
- $|G|_\pi$ denotes the π -part of $|G|$.
- $k(G)$ denotes the number of conjugacy classes in G .

We establish some notation for the remaining of the talk. It is important to remark that **all groups considered here are finite**.

Notations

Let G be a group π be a set of primes. Then

- G_π denotes the set of π -elements of G .
- $|G|_\pi$ denotes the π -part of $|G|$.
- $k(G)$ denotes the number of conjugacy classes in G .
- $k_\pi(G)$ denotes the number of conjugacy classes of G whose elements are π -elements.

Let G be a finite group. We define the commuting probability of G as the probability that two random elements of G commute, that is

$$\Pr(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid xy = yx\}|}{|G|^2}.$$

We have two main goals in this talk.

Let G be a finite group. We define the commuting probability of G as the probability that two random elements of G commute, that is

$$\Pr(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid xy = yx\}|}{|G|^2}.$$

We have two main goals in this talk.

- (I) Present results that give information on the structure of a group in terms of $\Pr(G)$.

Let G be a finite group. We define the commuting probability of G as the probability that two random elements of G commute, that is

$$\Pr(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid xy = yx\}|}{|G|^2}.$$

We have two main goals in this talk.

- (I) Present results that give information on the structure of a group in terms of $\Pr(G)$.
- (II) Generalise the indicator $\Pr(G)$ to obtain results on the existence of abelian and nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Gustafson proved the following result.

Theorem (W.H. Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a group, then $\Pr(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|}$.

Gustafson proved the following result.

Theorem (W.H. Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a group, then $\Pr(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|}$.

With this result, Gustafson proved the following theorem.

Theorem (W.H. Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a group. If $\Pr(G) > \frac{5}{8}$, then G is abelian.

Note

The bound above cannot be improved since Q_8 is a non-abelian group with $\Pr(Q_8) = \frac{5}{8}$.

The following result provides a bound for $\text{Pr}(G)$ of non-abelian groups in terms of the smallest prime dividing $|G|$.

Theorem (T. Burness, R. M. Guralnick, A. Moretó and G. Navarro, 2021)

Let G be a group and let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. If $\text{Pr}(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G is abelian.

Note

Observe that $\frac{5}{8} = \frac{2^2+2-1}{2^3}$ and hence, this result generalises Gustafson's Theorem.

It is also possible to determine the nilpotency in terms of $\Pr(G)$.

Theorem (R. M. Guralnick and G. Robinson, 2005)

Let G be a group and let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. If $\Pr(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G is nilpotent.

It is also possible to determine the nilpotency in terms of $\text{Pr}(G)$.

Theorem (R. M. Guralnick and G. Robinson, 2005)

Let G be a group and let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. If $\text{Pr}(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G is nilpotent.

This result was generalised in a recent work.

Theorem (N. N. Hung, A. Maróti and J.M., 2022)

Let G be a group and let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. Then $\frac{1}{p} < \text{Pr}(G) \leq \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$ if and only if $|G'| = p$. Moreover, in such case,

$$\text{Pr}(G) = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p|G : Z(G)|}.$$

Nilpotent and abelian Hall π -subgroups

Now, we turn our attention to results on the existence of nilpotent/abelian Hall π -subgroups. It is important to remark that these local results are much more complicated than the results on the structure of the whole group.

Nilpotent and abelian Hall π -subgroups

Now, we turn our attention to results on the existence of nilpotent/abelian Hall π -subgroups. It is important to remark that these local results are much more complicated than the results on the structure of the whole group.

Definition

Let G be a group and let π be a set of primes. We say that $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ if G possesses Hall π -subgroups, all Hall π -subgroups are conjugated and every π -subgroup of G is contained in a Hall π -subgroup of G .

Theorem (H. Wielandt, 1954)

If G possesses a nilpotent Hall π -subgroup, then $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$.

First Generalization of $\Pr(G)$

Given a prime, p , Burness, Guralnick, Moretó and Navarro defined the following indicator.

Definition

Let G be a group and let p be a prime. We define the p -commuting probability of G as

$$\Pr_p(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G_p \times G_p \mid xy = yx\}|}{|G_p|^2}.$$

Theorem (T. Burness, R. Guralnick, A. Moretó and G. Navarro, 2021)

Let G be a group and let p be a prime. If $\text{Pr}_p(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G possesses a normal and abelian Sylow p -subgroup.

Theorem (T. Burness, R. Guralnick, A. Moretó and G. Navarro, 2021)

Let G be a group and let p be a prime. If $\text{Pr}_p(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G possesses a normal and abelian Sylow p -subgroup.

Notes

- The proof of the above result is very technical and it relies on deep results on actions of groups.

Theorem (T. Burness, R. Guralnick, A. Moretó and G. Navarro, 2021)

Let G be a group and let p be a prime. If $\text{Pr}_p(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G possesses a normal and abelian Sylow p -subgroup.

Notes

- The proof of the above result is very technical and it relies on deep results on actions of groups.
- The bound above cannot be improved for any prime $p > 2$. This is because the group $\text{PSL}(2, p)$ is simple and $\text{Pr}_p(\text{PSL}(2, p)) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$.

Theorem (T. Burness, R. Guralnick, A. Moretó and G. Navarro, 2021)

Let G be a group and let p be a prime. If $\text{Pr}_p(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G possesses a normal and abelian Sylow p -subgroup.

Notes

- The proof of the above result is very technical and it relies on deep results on actions of groups.
- The bound above cannot be improved for any prime $p > 2$. This is because the group $\text{PSL}(2, p)$ is simple and $\text{Pr}_p(\text{PSL}(2, p)) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$.
- There is no analogue of the expression $\text{Pr}(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|}$ for $\text{Pr}_p(G)$.

Second Generalization of $\text{Pr}(G)$

In view of the equality $\text{Pr}(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|}$, Hung and Maróti defined the following indicator.

Definition

Let G be a group and let π be a set of primes. We define

$$d_{\pi}(G) = \frac{k_{\pi}(G)}{|G|_{\pi}}.$$

Second Generalization of $\Pr(G)$

In view of the equality $\Pr(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|}$, Hung and Maróti defined the following indicator.

Definition

Let G be a group and let π be a set of primes. We define

$$d_{\pi}(G) = \frac{k_{\pi}(G)}{|G|_{\pi}}.$$

Note

It is possible to prove that $d_{\pi}(G) \leq 1$ for every group G and every set of primes π .

Using the indicator $d_\pi(G)$, Hung and Maróti proved the following sufficient condition for the existence of abelian Hall π -subgroups

Theorem (N. N. Hung and A. Maróti, 2014)

Let G be a group and let π be a set of primes. If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{5}{8}$, then G possesses abelian Hall π -subgroups.

Theorem (N. N. Hung, A. Maróti and J.M., 2022)

Let G be a group, let π be a set of primes and let p be the smallest prime in π .

- (i) If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G possesses abelian Hall π -subgroups.
- (ii) If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

There are examples that show that the converse assertions are false, in general.

Theorem (N. N. Hung, A. Maróti and J.M., 2022)

Let G be a group, let π be a set of primes and let p be the smallest prime in π .

- (i) If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$, then G possesses abelian Hall π -subgroups.
- (ii) If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

There are examples that show that the converse assertions are false, in general.

We close this talk by showing how to reduce part (II) of our main result to simple groups.

Reduction to simple groups

To prove the reduction to simple groups, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 1 (J. Fulman and R. Guralnick, 2012)

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$. Then

$$k_{\pi}(G) \leq k_{\pi}(N)k_{\pi}(G/N)$$

and

$$d_{\pi}(G) \leq d_{\pi}(N) d_{\pi}(G/N).$$

Reduction to simple groups

To prove the reduction to simple groups, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 1 (J. Fulman and R. Guralnick, 2012)

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$. Then

$$k_\pi(G) \leq k_\pi(N)k_\pi(G/N)$$

and

$$d_\pi(G) \leq d_\pi(N)d_\pi(G/N).$$

Lemma 2 (P. Hall, 1956)

Let G be a group and let $N \trianglelefteq G$. If both G/N and N possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups, then $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$.

Lemma 3

Let π be a set of primes, let p be the smallest prime in π and let $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$. If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Lemma 3

Let π be a set of primes, let p be the smallest prime in π and let $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$. If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Proof.

- Let $H \leq G$ be a Hall π -subgroup of G .

Lemma 3

Let π be a set of primes, let p be the smallest prime in π and let $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$. If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Proof.

- Let $H \leq G$ be a Hall π -subgroup of G .
- Our hypothesis imply that $k_\pi(G) \leq k(H)$.

Lemma 3

Let π be a set of primes, let p be the smallest prime in π and let $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$. If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Proof.

- Let $H \leq G$ be a Hall π -subgroup of G .
- Our hypothesis imply that $k_\pi(G) \leq k(H)$.
- Since $|G|_\pi = |H|$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G) = \frac{k_\pi(G)}{|G|_\pi} \leq \frac{k(H)}{|H|} = \text{Pr}(H).$$

Lemma 3

Let π be a set of primes, let p be the smallest prime in π and let $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$. If $d_\pi(G) > \frac{1}{p}$, then G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Proof.

- Let $H \leq G$ be a Hall π -subgroup of G .
- Our hypothesis imply that $k_\pi(G) \leq k(H)$.
- Since $|G|_\pi = |H|$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G) = \frac{k_\pi(G)}{|G|_\pi} \leq \frac{k(H)}{|H|} = \text{Pr}(H).$$

- By Guralnick-Robinson's Theorem, we deduce that H is nilpotent.



Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.

Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.
- Assume that there exist $1 < N < G$, a normal subgroup of G .

Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.
- Assume that there exist $1 < N < G$, a normal subgroup of G .
- By Lemma 1, we have that $d_\pi(G) \leq d_\pi(N) d_\pi(G/N)$ and hence $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G/N)$ and $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(N)$.

Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.
- Assume that there exist $1 < N < G$, a normal subgroup of G .
- By Lemma 1, we have that $d_\pi(G) \leq d_\pi(N) d_\pi(G/N)$ and hence $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G/N)$ and $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(N)$.
- By minimality of G , we have that N and G/N possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.

Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.
- Assume that there exist $1 < N < G$, a normal subgroup of G .
- By Lemma 1, we have that $d_\pi(G) \leq d_\pi(N) d_\pi(G/N)$ and hence $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G/N)$ and $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(N)$.
- By minimality of G , we have that N and G/N possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.
- By Lemma 2, $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$.

Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.
- Assume that there exist $1 < N < G$, a normal subgroup of G .
- By Lemma 1, we have that $d_\pi(G) \leq d_\pi(N) d_\pi(G/N)$ and hence $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G/N)$ and $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(N)$.
- By minimality of G , we have that N and G/N possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.
- By Lemma 2, $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$.
- By Lemma 3, G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups, which is a contradiction.

Reduction to simple groups

- Assume that G is a group such that, $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G)$, G does not possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups and it has minimal order satisfying these conditions.
- Assume that there exist $1 < N < G$, a normal subgroup of G .
- By Lemma 1, we have that $d_\pi(G) \leq d_\pi(N) d_\pi(G/N)$ and hence $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(G/N)$ and $\frac{1}{p} < d_\pi(N)$.
- By minimality of G , we have that N and G/N possess nilpotent Hall π -subgroups.
- By Lemma 2, $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$.
- By Lemma 3, G possesses nilpotent Hall π -subgroups, which is a contradiction.
- G must be simple as we wanted.

An example of simple groups

- Let p be a prime, let S be a simple group of Lie type over the field of $q = p^f$ elements and let $p \in \pi$.

An example of simple groups

- Let p be a prime, let S be a simple group of Lie type over the field of $q = p^f$ elements and let $p \in \pi$.
- Fulman and Guralnick proved that there exists an explicit polynomial with positive coefficients, P_S , such that $k(S) \leq P_S(q)$.

An example of simple groups

- Let p be a prime, let S be a simple group of Lie type over the field of $q = p^f$ elements and let $p \in \pi$.
- Fulman and Guralnick proved that there exists an explicit polynomial with positive coefficients, P_S , such that $k(S) \leq P_S(q)$.
- Since $p \in \pi$ we have that $|S|_\pi \geq |S|_p$ and, using that $k_\pi(S) \leq k(S) \leq P_S(q)$, we can deduce that

$$d_\pi(S) = \frac{k_\pi(S)}{|S|_\pi} \leq \frac{P_S(q)}{|S|_p}.$$

An example of simple groups

- Let p be a prime, let S be a simple group of Lie type over the field of $q = p^f$ elements and let $p \in \pi$.
- Fulman and Guralnick proved that there exists an explicit polynomial with positive coefficients, P_S , such that $k(S) \leq P_S(q)$.
- Since $p \in \pi$ we have that $|S|_\pi \geq |S|_p$ and, using that $k_\pi(S) \leq k(S) \leq P_S(q)$, we can deduce that

$$d_\pi(S) = \frac{k_\pi(S)}{|S|_\pi} \leq \frac{P_S(q)}{|S|_p}.$$

- It follows that $d_\pi(S) < 1/p$ for all S unless for a finite list of examples and the result can be checked in these groups.

Thank you for your attention.

Any question?