



Complete Groups of Order $3p^6$

M. JOHN CURRAN — REX S. DARK

(Received Jan. 22, 2016; Accepted Feb. 19, 2016 — Communicated by M.R. Dixon)

Gewidmet Herrn Professor Dr. Hermann Heineken
zu seinem 80. Geburtstag

Abstract

For each prime number p with $3 \mid p - 1$, we construct a group of order $3p^5$, whose automorphism group is a complete group of order $3p^6$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 20D45

Keywords: finite group; complete group

1 Introduction

Several papers in the literature deal with the construction of complete groups of odd order ([2],[12],[13]). There are infinitely many such groups ([4],[8]), but the smallest hitherto published example seems to be one of order $5 \cdot 3^{12}$ constructed by Heineken [6]. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem *Let p be a prime number with $3 \mid p - 1$. Then there is a group H with trivial centre $Z(H) = 1$, and automorphism group $G = \text{Aut } H$, such that H has order $|H| = 3p^5$, G has order $|G| = 3p^6$, and G is complete.*

Remark (a) The group G is supersoluble, so this demonstrates the pertinence of Heineken’s remark [6] that: “It remains to review supersoluble groups”.

(b) In [5] Hegarty and MacHale pose some questions:

- (i) What is the smallest order of a non-nilpotent group with an automorphism group of odd order?
- (ii) What is the smallest order of a non-nilpotent automorphism group of odd order?
- (iii) What is the smallest possible order of a complete group of odd order?

We conjecture that when $p = 7$, the group H constructed here of order $3 \cdot 7^5$ provides an answer to (i), and we also conjecture that the group G of order $3 \cdot 7^6$ answers (ii). Moreover the second author has proved [3] that the answer to (iii) is indeed $3 \cdot 7^6$.

(c) Clearly the key to the Theorem is the Sylow p -subgroup of H , so its properties are of interest. Let p be an odd prime number, and suppose Q is a nontrivial p -group, with order $|Q| = p^n \neq 1$ and nilpotency class c . Define $\Phi = Q^p Q'$ to be the Frattini subgroup of Q .

The arguments of Heineken and Liebeck [7, Sections 4 and 5] show that if either $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, or $n = 5$ and $c \in \{1, 2\}$, then there exist an automorphism $\theta \in \text{Aut } Q$, and a nontrivial element $g \in Q - \Phi$, such that $g^\theta = g^{-1}$. In this case θ has even order, so $2 \mid |\text{Aut } Q|$, and Q is an unlikely candidate for involvement in a complete group of odd order.

Next write \mathbb{F}_p for the field of order p , and let k be an element in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_p^\times = \mathbb{F}_p - 0$. Define $P = \langle x, x_1 \rangle$ to be the group with defining relations (1) below. The first author has proved that if $n = 5$ and $c \in \{3, 4\}$, then again Q has an automorphism which inverts an element of $Q - \Phi$, except when $p \geq 5$ and $Q = P$ for some value of k [1, Theorems 2 and 3]. On the other hand [1, Theorem 4]

$$|\text{Aut } P| = \begin{cases} p^6 & \text{when } p \geq 5 \text{ and } 3 \nmid p - 1 \\ 3p^6 & \text{when } 3 \mid p - 1 \end{cases}$$

Taking $p = 7$, we get $|\text{Aut } P| = 3 \cdot 7^6$, so $\text{Aut } P$ is an alternative conjectural answer to the question (ii) above (but is not complete).

Finally let k_0 be a primitive $(p - 1)$ -th root of 1 modulo p^2 , and put $y = x^{k_0^i}$ and $y_1 = x_1^{k_0^{2i}}$. Then $x = y^{k_0^{-i}}$ and $x_1 = y_1^{k_0^{-2i}}$, so $P = \langle y, y_1 \rangle$, and using (1), together with Lemma (f) below, we get the relations

$$\begin{aligned} y^p &= y_1^{p^2} = [y_1^p, y] = 1 \\ y_1^{k_0^{3i}k_p} &= [y_1, y, y_1] = [y_1, y, y, y]. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \geq 5$ and $3 \nmid p - 1$, then $\mathbb{F}_p^\times = \langle k_0^3 \rangle$, and hence the groups P are isomorphic to each other for all values of k . Similarly if $3 \mid p - 1$, then

$$\mathbb{F}_p^\times = \langle k_0^3 \rangle \cup \langle k_0^3 \rangle k_0 \cup \langle k_0^3 \rangle k_0^2,$$

and hence each group P is isomorphic to one of the 3 groups got by taking $k = 1, k_0$ and k_0^2 . Moreover, the list compiled by James [10] indicates that no two of these 3 groups are isomorphic to each other.

CONSTRUCTION — As above, let p be a prime number with $p \geq 5$, and choose an element $k \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times = \mathbb{F}_p - 0$. Take $P = \langle x, x_1 \rangle$ with defining relations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x^p &= x_1^{p^2} = [x_1^p, x] = 1, \\ x_1^{k_p} &= [x_1, x, x_1] = [x_1, x, x, x] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Write P_i for the i -th term of the lower central series of P , and make the inductive definition

$$x_i = [x_{i-1}, x] \quad (i \geq 2).$$

Applying Jacobi's identity in the Lie ring $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} P_i/P_{i+1}$ [9, III Aufgabe 8 (3), page 268], and working modulo P_5 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\equiv [x_1, x, [x_1, x]] \cdot [x, [x_1, x], x_1] \cdot [x_1, x, x_1, x] \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot [x_1, x, x, x_1]^{-1} \cdot [x_1, x, x_1, x] \pmod{P_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relations (1), together with this congruence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \langle x, x_1 \rangle P_2, \\ P_2 &= \langle [x_1, x] \rangle P_3 = \langle x_2 \rangle P_3, \\ P_3 &= \langle [x_1, x, x], [x_1, x, x_1] \rangle P_4 = \langle [x_1, x, x] \rangle P_4 = \langle x_3 \rangle P_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_4 &= \langle [x_1, x, x, x], [x_1, x, x, x_1] \rangle P_5 = \langle [x_1, x, x, x], [x_1, x, x_1, x] \rangle P_5 \\
&= \langle [x_1, x, x, x] \rangle P_5 = \langle x_4 \rangle P_5, \\
P_5 &= \langle [x_1, x, x, x, x], [x_1, x, x, x, x_1] \rangle P_6 = P_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Since P'/P_3 is cyclic, this implies that $P'' \leq [P', P_3] \leq P_5 = 1$. Moreover $P_i^P \leq P_{i+1}$ ($i \geq 1$), so P is a group of maximal class [9, III (14.1)]. We shall use the following well known results.

Proposition (Rose [11, Corollary 1.2(iii)]) *Let H be a finite group with $Z(H) = 1$, and suppose $H \leq G \leq \text{Aut } H$. Let π be a set of prime numbers, and suppose $H = O^\pi(G)$ is the smallest normal subgroup of G such that G/H is a π -group. Then $\text{Aut } G = N_{\text{Aut } H}(G)$.*

Lemma *Suppose P is a group with $P'' = 1$, and put $P^* = P/P'$. Let $*$: $P \rightarrow P^*$ be the natural homomorphism, and form the group ring $\mathbb{Z}P^*$. Suppose that r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n are natural numbers and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are elements of P , and take $v_i = y_i^* - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}P^*$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).*

(a) *Then P' can be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}P^*$ -module.*

(b) *If $P = \langle x, x_1 \rangle$, then $P' = \{[x_1, x]^S : S \in \mathbb{Z}P^*\}$.*

(c) *If $z \in P'$ and $S \in \mathbb{Z}P^*$, then*

$$[z^S, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] = [z, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^S.$$

(d) *If r is a natural number, it follows that $[y_1^r, y_2] = [y_1, y_2]^{S_1}$ and $[y_1, y_2^r] = [y_1, y_2]^{S_2}$, with*

$$S_i = 1 + y_i + y_i^2 + \dots + y_i^{r-1} \quad (i=1, 2).$$

(e) *If $n \geq 2$, then $[y_1^{r_1}, y_2^{r_2}, \dots, y_n^{r_n}] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^{S_1 S_2 \dots S_n}$, with*

$$S_i = \sum_{s=1}^{r_i} \binom{r_i}{s} v_i^{s-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

(f) *If $n \geq 2$, and $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ is in the centre $Z(P)$, then*

$$[y_1^{r_1}, y_2^{r_2}, \dots, y_n^{r_n}] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^{r_1 r_2 \dots r_n}.$$

PROOF — (a) This holds because $P' \leq C_P(P')$.

(b) This follows from (a), since P' is the normal subgroup of P generated by $[x_1, x]$.

(c) Using (a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} [z^S, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] &= z^{Sv_1v_2 \dots v_n} = z^{v_1v_2 \dots v_n S} \\ &= [z, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^S. \end{aligned}$$

(d) This can be proved inductively, using the fact that if $r \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [y_1^r, y_2] &= [y_1, y_2]^{y_1^{r-1}} [y_1^{r-1}, y_2], \\ [y_1, y_2^r] &= [y_1, y_2^{r-1}] [y_1, y_2]^{y_2^{r-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

(e) Using (c) and (d) we get the required equation, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_i &= 1 + y_i + y_i^2 + \dots + y_i^{r_i-1} = \frac{y_i^{r_i} - 1}{y_i - 1} \\ &= \frac{(1 + v_i)^{r_i} - 1}{v_i} = \sum_{s=1}^{r_i} \binom{r_i}{s} v_i^{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(f) This is a consequence of (e). □

2 Proofs

CONSTRUCTION — Use the notation of the construction above, and as in the Lemma, take

$$u = x^* - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}P^* = \mathbb{Z}(P/P').$$

Note that if $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $i + j \geq 5$, then $x_i = x_2^{u^{i-2}}$ and $x_i^u = 1$. Using Lemma (e), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [x_3, x^p] = [x_3, x]^p = [x_2, x]^{p^u} = x_4^p, \\ 1 &= [x_2, x^p] = [x_2, x]^{p+p(p-1)u/2} = [x_2, x]^p = [x_1, x]^{p^u} = x_3^p, \\ 1 &= [x_1, x^p] = [x_1, x]^{p+p(p-1)u/2+p(p-1)(p-2)u^2/6} = [x_1, x]^p = x_2^p, \end{aligned}$$

so $P_2^p = \langle x_2^p, x_3^p, x_4^p \rangle = 1$. As in Lemma (a), it follows that P' can be regarded as an $\mathbb{F}_p P^*$ -module.

Now the relations (1) imply that P can be constructed as follows. Write C_n for the cyclic group of order n , and let $X_0 = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ be a nonabelian group of order p^3 and exponent p^2 , with $\langle x_1 \rangle \simeq C_{p^2}$, $\langle x_2 \rangle \simeq C_p$ and $x_1^{x_2} = x_1^{1-kp}$. Take $X_3 = \langle x_3 \rangle \simeq C_p$, and form the direct product $M = X_0 \times X_3$. Put $x_4 = [x_2, x_1] = x_1^{kp}$, and $N = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$.

Next take $X = \langle x \rangle$, and make x act on the elements x_i by taking $x_i^x = x_i x_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Using a well known relation in groups of class 2 [9, III (1.3.b)], we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 x_2)^{kp} &= x_1^{kp} x_2^{kp} [x_2, x_1]^{kp(kp-1)/2} = x_1^{kp}, \\ (x_1^x)^{p^2} &= (x_1 x_2)^{p^2} = 1, \\ (x_j^x)^p &= (x_j x_{j+1})^p = 1 \quad (j = 2, 3), \\ [x_2^x, x_1^x] &= [x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2] = [x_2, x_1] = x_1^{kp} = (x_1 x_2)^{kp} = (x_1^x)^{kp}, \\ [x_3^x, x_1^x] &= [x_3 x_4, x_1 x_{i+1}] = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that x preserves the relations of M , so $x \in \text{Aut } M$, and we form the corresponding semidirect product $L = XM$.

Then $L'' = N' = 1$, and N is an $\mathbb{F}_p(L/L')$ -module, as in Lemma (a). Let $*$: $L \rightarrow L/L'$ be the natural homomorphism, and take $u = x^* - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p L^*$. Now

$$x_4^x = (x_1 x_2)^{kp} = x_1^{kp} = x_4,$$

and hence $x_{i+1}^{u^j} = 1$ when $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \geq 3$. Applying Lemma (e), we get

$$[x_i, x^p] = x_{i+1}^{p+p(p-1)u/2+p(p-1)(p-2)u^2/6} = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

because $p \geq 5$. Thus $X \simeq C_p$, so $P = L$, and therefore $|P| = p^5$.

Now suppose $3 \mid p-1$ and let k_1 be a primitive cube root of 1 modulo p^2 . Take $A = \langle a \rangle \simeq C_3$, and make a act on x and x_1 by taking

$$x^a = x^{k_1}, \quad x_1^a = x_1^{k_1^2}.$$

Using the relations (1), together with Lemma (f) (and copying the calculation at the end of Remark (c) above), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^a)^{kp} &= (x_1^{k_1^2})^{k_1^2}, \\ [x_1^a, x^a, x_1^a] &= [x_1^{k_1^2}, x^{k_1}, x_1^{k_1^2}] = [x_1, x, x_1]^{k_1^2}, \\ [x_1^a, x^a, x^a, x^a] &= [x_1^{k_1^2}, x^{k_1}, x^{k_1}, x^h] = [x_1, x, x, x]^{k_1^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(x_1^a)^{kp} = [x_1^a, x^a, x_1^a] = [x_1^a, x^a, x^a, x^a]$. It is also clear

that $(x^\alpha)^p = (x_1^\alpha)^{p^2} = [(x_1^\alpha)^p, x^\alpha] = 1$, so α preserves the relations (1). Thus $\alpha \in \text{Aut } P$, and we form the corresponding semidirect product $H = AP$, with

$$|H| = |A| \cdot |P| = 3p^5.$$

Next take the additive abelian groups

$$U = \langle x \rangle P' / P', \quad U_i = \langle x_i \rangle P_{i+1} / P_{i+1} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

Let $W_i = \mathbb{F}_p w_i$ be a 1-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p , and make W_i a (right) $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -module by taking

$$w_i \alpha = k_1^i w_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

Since $x_1^\alpha = x_1^{k_1^2}$, we can use induction to show that

$$x_i^\alpha = [x_{i-1}, x]^\alpha \equiv [x_{i-1}^{k_1^i}, x^{k_1}] \equiv x_i^{k_1^{i+1}} \pmod{P_{i+1}} \quad (i = 2, 3, 4),$$

and hence

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P/P' &= U \oplus U_1 \simeq W_1 \oplus W_2 \\ P_i/P_{i+1} &= U_i \simeq W_{i-2} \quad (i = 2, 3, 4) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

Finally put

$$G = \text{Aut } H, \quad \Gamma = C_G(H/P').$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM — It follows from (2) that

$$Z(H) = 1, \quad H = \text{Inn } H \leq G,$$

where $\text{Inn } H$ is the group of inner automorphisms of H . We next calculate the automorphism group G/Γ induced on H/P' , and we claim that

$$G/\Gamma = \text{Inn}(H/P') = H/P'. \quad (3)$$

To prove this, suppose $\theta \in G$. By Sylow's theorem, there is an element $g \in H$ such that $A^\theta = A^g$, and replacing θ by θg^{-1} , we may assume that $A^\theta = A$. Then θ acts on P/P' , and permutes the set of $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -submodules $\{U, U_1\}$; more precisely, either $\alpha^\theta = \alpha$ and θ stabilises U and U_1 , or else $\alpha^\theta = \alpha^2$ and θ interchanges U and U_1 . But $\langle x_1 \rangle P' = \Omega_1(P)$ is the subgroup generated by the elements of order p in P , which is a characteristic subgroup of P , so the first alternative must apply. Moreover there are integers r, s and ele-

ments $y, z \in P'$, such that $x^\theta = x^r y$ and $x_1^\theta = x_1^s z$. Then the relations (1) imply that $s \equiv rs^2 \equiv r^3 s \pmod{p}$, and hence $r^3 \equiv rs \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ [1, page 303]. This means that θ acts on P/P' in the same way as an element of A , which completes the proof of (3).

It follows from (2) that $H \cap \Gamma = P'$, and using (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} G/\Gamma &= H/P' = H/H \cap \Gamma \simeq H\Gamma/\Gamma, & G &= H\Gamma, \\ G/P' &= H\Gamma/P' = (H/P') \times (\Gamma/P'), & G/H &\simeq \Gamma/P'. \end{aligned}$$

But $P' = \Phi(H)$ is the Frattini subgroup of H , and hence Γ is a p -group [9, III (3.18)], so G/H is a p -group. Also $P = [P, A]$, and therefore $H = \langle A^H \rangle = O^p(G)$. Now the Proposition implies that G is complete, and it remains to find $|G|$.

To do this, we investigate Γ . Since $AP' \triangleleft A\Gamma$, it follows from Frattini's argument [9, I (7.8)] that

$$\begin{aligned} A\Gamma &= AP'N_{A\Gamma}(A) = AP'N_\Gamma(A) = AP'C_\Gamma(A), \\ \Gamma &= P'C_\Gamma(A), \end{aligned}$$

so it suffices to study $C_\Gamma(A)$. Now P' is elementary abelian, and Maschke's theorem [9, I (17.7)] implies that

$$P' = Z_0 \times P_3 = Z_0 \times Z_1 \times Z_2,$$

where Z_i is normalised by A , and is $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -isomorphic to W_i ($i=0, 1, 2$). Then $Z_0 = C_{P'}(A) \leq C_\Gamma(A)$. Moreover there is an automorphism $\gamma_1 \in C_\Gamma(A)$ defined by the equations

$$a^{\gamma_1} = a, \quad x^{\gamma_1} = x, \quad x_1^{\gamma_1} = x_1^{1+kp} = x_1 x_4,$$

with $\Gamma_1 = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \simeq C_p$ and $[P', \Gamma_1] = P' \cap \Gamma_1 = 1$. We claim that

$$C_\Gamma(A) = Z_0 \Gamma_1 \simeq C_p \times C_p. \quad (4)$$

Clearly $Z_0 \Gamma_1 \leq C_\Gamma(A)$. To prove the opposite inclusion, suppose $\gamma \in C_\Gamma(A)$, and consider the map $\delta : P \rightarrow P'$ defined by taking

$$y^\delta = [y, \gamma] = y^{-1} y^\gamma \quad (y \in P).$$

If $y_1, y_2 \in P$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (y_1 y_2)^\delta &= [y_1 y_2, \gamma] = [y_1, \gamma]^{y_2} [y_2, \gamma] \\ &\equiv [y_1, \gamma] [y_2, \gamma] = y_1^\delta y_2^\delta \pmod{P_3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$y_1^{\alpha\delta} = [y_1^\alpha, \gamma] = [y_1, \gamma]^\alpha = y_1^{\delta\alpha},$$

and hence δ induces an $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -homomorphism $P/P' \rightarrow P'/P_3$. But (2) implies that $P/P' \simeq W_1 \oplus W_2$ and $P'/P_3 \simeq W_0$, so the only such homomorphism is the zero map, and therefore $P^\delta \leq P_3$.

Then the same calculation shows that δ induces an $\mathbb{F}_p A$ -homomorphism $\delta_1 : P/P' \rightarrow P_3/P_4$. Now (2) implies that

$$P/P' = U \oplus U_1,$$

with

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p A}(U_1, P_3/P_4) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p A}(W_2, W_1) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$\delta_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p A}(U, P_3/P_4) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p A} W_1 = \mathbb{F}_p$$

[9, V (4.3)]. But it follows from (1) that $[x_1, x_2] = x_4^{-1} \in P_4$, and $[x, x_2] = x_3^{-1} \in x_3^{-1}P_4$. Hence there exist an integer r , and an element $z \in Z_0$, such that

$$(yP')^{\delta_1} = [y, \gamma]P_4 = [y, x_2^r]P_4 = [y, z]P_4 \quad (y \in P).$$

Replacing γ by γz^{-1} , we may assume that $P^\delta \leq P_4$.

Finally we now get $\delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p A}(P/P', P_4)$, and a similar argument shows that there is an integer s such that

$$y^\delta = [y, \gamma] = [y, \gamma_1^s] \quad (y \in P).$$

This means that $\gamma = \gamma_1^s \in \Gamma_1$, which completes the proof of (4). Therefore

$$G = H\Gamma = HC_\Gamma(A) = H\Gamma_1, \quad |G| = |H| \cdot |\Gamma_1| = 3p^6. \quad \square$$

Remark We can describe $Z_0 = C_{P'}(A)$ more explicitly as follows. As in Lemma (b), the elements of P' can be written as expressions of the form x_2^S , where S is in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p P^*$. As before, put

$$u = x^* - 1, \quad u_1 = x_1^* - 1,$$

and note that the equations (1) imply that the group algebra can be replaced by the quotient got by imposing the relations

$$u_1 = u^2, \quad u^3 = uu_1 = u_1^2 = 0. \quad (5)$$

We seek generators z_i for the subgroups Z_i .

Since $x_4^a = x_4^{k_1^2}$, we can take $z_2 = x_4$, with $Z_2 = \langle z_2 \rangle$. Using (5) and Lemma (e), we get $x_3^a = [x_1^{k_1^2}, x^{k_1}, x^{k_1}] = x_3^S = x_2^{uS}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S &= k_1^2 \left(k_1 + \binom{k_1}{2} u \right)^2 = k_1^2 \left(k_1^2 + 2k_1 \binom{k_1}{2} u \right) \\ &= k_1 + k_1(k_1 - 1)u, \end{aligned}$$

so $x_3^a = x_3^{k_1} x_4^{k_1^2 - k_1}$. Put

$$z_1 = x_3 x_4^{-1}, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} [z_1, x] = x_4 = z_2 \\ [z_1, x_1] = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then $z_1^a = x_3^{k_1} x_4^{k_1^2 - k_1} \cdot x_4^{-k_1^2} = x_3^{k_1} x_4^{-k_1} = z_1^{k_1}$, and therefore $Z_1 = \langle z_1 \rangle$.

Using (5) and Lemma (e) again, we get $x_2^a = [x_1^{k_1^2}, x^{k_1}] = x_2^T$, where

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \left(k_1^2 + \binom{k_1^2}{2} u_1 \right) \left(k_1 + \binom{k_1}{2} u + \binom{k_1}{3} u^2 \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{k_1 - 1}{2} u + \frac{(k_1 - 1)(k_1 - 2)}{6} u^2 + \frac{k_1^2 - 1}{2} u_1 \\ &= 1 + \frac{k_1 - 1}{2} u + \frac{4k_1^2 - 3k_1 - 1}{6} u^2, \end{aligned}$$

so $x_2^a = x_2 x_3^{(k_1 - 1)/2} x_4^{(4k_1^2 - 3k_1 - 1)/6}$. Put

$$z_0 = x_2 x_3^{-1/2} x_4^{-1/6}, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} [z_0, x] = x_3 x_4^{-1/2} = z_1 z_2^{1/2} \\ [z_0, x_1] = x_4 = z_2 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^a &= x_2 x_3^{(k_1 - 1)/2} x_4^{(4k_1^2 - 3k_1 - 1)/6} \cdot x_3^{-k_1/2} x_4^{-(k_1^2 - k_1)/2} \cdot x_4^{-k_1^2/6} \\ &= x_2 x_3^{-1/2} x_4^{-1/6} = z_0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $Z_0 = \langle z_0 \rangle$.

Finally let γ_0 be the inner automorphism induced by z_0^{-1} . Then $C_\Gamma(A) = \langle \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \rangle$, with

$$x^{\gamma_0} = x[x, z_0^{-1}] = x z_1 z_2^{1/2},$$

$$x_1^{\gamma_0} = x_1 [x_1, z_0^{-1}] = x_1 z_2.$$

Using a well known relation [9, III (1.3.b)], we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x^r)^{\gamma_0} &= (xz_1z_2^{1/2})^r = x^r z_1^r [z_1, x]^{r(r-1)/2} \cdot z_2^{r/2} = x^r z_1^r z_2^{r^2/2}, \\ (x_1^r)^{\gamma_0} &= (x_1 z_2)^r = x_1^r z_2^r. \end{aligned}$$

These exponents correspond to the matrix entries in the (more elaborate) examples constructed by Heineken [6].

REFERENCES

-
- [1] M.J. CURRAN: "Automorphisms of certain p-groups (p odd)", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 38 (1988), 299–305.
 - [2] R.S. DARK: "A complete group of odd order", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 77 (1975), 21–28.
 - [3] R.S. DARK: "The least odd order of a nontrivial complete group", *preprint*.
 - [4] B. HARTLEY – D.J.S. ROBINSON: "On finite complete groups", *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 35 (1980), 67–74.
 - [5] P. HEGARTY – D. MACHALE: "Minimal odd order automorphism groups", *J. Group Theory* 13 (2010), 243–255.
 - [6] H. HEINEKEN: "Examples of complete groups of odd order", *Bull. Greek Math. Soc.* 38 (1996), 69–77.
 - [7] H. HEINEKEN – H. LIEBECK: "On p-groups with odd order automorphism groups", *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 24 (1973), 465–471.
 - [8] M.V. HOROŠEVSKI: "On complete groups of odd order", *Algebra i Logika* 13 (1974), 63–76.
 - [9] B. HUPPERT: "Endliche Gruppen I", *Springer*, Berlin (1967).
 - [10] R. JAMES: "The groups of order p^6 (p an odd prime)", *Math. Comp.* 34 (1980), 613–637.

- [11] J. ROSE: "Automorphism groups of groups with trivial centre", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 31 (1975), 167–193.
- [12] B. SCHUHMANN: "On the minimum length of the chief series of finite, complete, solvable groups of odd order", *J. Algebra* 90 (1984), 285–293.
- [13] P. SOULES: "On supersolvable complete groups of small odd order", *An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Mat.-Inform.* 42 (2004), 105–113.
-

M. John Curran
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Otago
P.O. Box 56, Dunedin (New Zealand)
e-mail: jcurran@maths.otago.ac.nz

Rex S. Dark
School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics
National University of Ireland
University Road, Galway (Ireland)
e-mail: rex.dark@nuigalway.ie